## BIENNIAL STRATEGY REVIEW SYSTEM Chesapeake Bay Program



## Logic and Action Plan: Post Quarterly Progress Meeting

## Climate Resiliency – 2018-2019

[NOTE: make sure to edit **pre**- or **post**- in the text above, to tell the reader whether this logic and action plan is in preparation for your quarterly progress meeting or has been updated based on discussion at the quarterly progress meeting.]

**Long-term Target:** (the metric for success of Outcome) **Two-year Target:** (increment of metric for success)

**Instructions:** Before your quarterly progress meeting, provide the status of individual actions in the table below using this color key.

Action has been completed or is moving forward as planned.

Action has encountered minor obstacles.

Action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier.

Additional instructions for completing or updating your logic and action plan can be found on <a href="ChesapeakeDecisions">ChesapeakeDecisions</a>.

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt	
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential (to help fill this gap) to achieve our outcome?	What will we measure or observe to determine progress in filling identified gap?	How and when do we expect these actions to address the identified gap? How might that affect our work going forward?	What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?	
	Outcome: Monitoring and Assessment						

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 1 of 13

Manitonia	OTA C Classes as les	I asland asimutidia	O 4 Desilers		1
Monitoring & Assessment:	STAC Chesapeake	Lack of scientific	2.1 Review		
	Bay Program	capability to monitor;	recommendations of		
Scientific	Modeling 2.0	lack of adequacy of downscaled climate	the 2018 STAC		
Capabilities. The	Workshop		Climate Change		
scientific		data; continued efforts needed	Modeling 2.0 workshop and		
capabilities to		needed	identify and		
estimate, project,					
model and monitor			implement follow-		
ecosystem changes			up actions in conjunction with the		
and impacts as a result of climate			Water Quality GIT		
			water Quality G11		
change are just			a a De in		
emerging.			2.2 Review		
Appropriate and accurate science and			recommendations of the 2018 STAC		
modeling are			Climate Change		
necessary for			Modeling 2.0		
Chesapeake Bay			workshop and		
Program partners to			identify and		
properly address			implement follow-		
climate impacts			up actions in		
during policy			conjunction with the		
planing and			Water Quality GIT		
adaptation efforts.			water Quality GIT		
Monitoring &	Scientific data	Lack of data	2.3 Pursue research		
Assessment:	collection at DE,	consistency and	to support better		
Geographic	MD, VA NERRS	comparability among	understanding of		
extent/variability	sites to gain a	regions and sectors	precipitation		
of the	better	rogions una sectors	changes with		
Watershed. The	understanding of		regards to intensity,		
impacts of climate	what is happening		annual amounts,		
change will be	at the reserve level		seasonal impacts,		
varied across the	and how that can		storm events and		
Watershed. It is	be applied to the		storm water		
important to not	Bay as a whole		management		
limit the focus of the	•				
management					
strategy to coastal					
issues alone but to					
recognize the wide					
range of					
monitoring,					
_					

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 2 of 13

assessment and adaptation needs throughout the region. However, the variability of the ecosystem within the Bay proper and the larger watershed presents challenges in data consistency and comparability among regions and sectors. The variability of ecosystems and ecosystem processes will also require different science and adaptation approaches.	Dete cellented by		3.3 Maintain a database of climate change research efforts related to the 2014 Chesapeake Bay Agreement		
Monitoring & Assessment: Complexity of the Monitoring Program. Developing a monitoring program to detect ecosystem change and inform program and project response is a complex undertaking. Developing an acceptable monitoring approach for the watershed will be complex, and there are clear budgetary challenges associated with such long-term monitoring.	Data collected by NOAA Chesapeake Bay Sentinel Site Cooperative (CBSSC) and others that can assist with CBP monitoring efforts.	Institution capacity to develop and perform long-term monitoring to detect ecosystem change, and a steady funding source for such efforts.	1.1 Design, implement and maintain annual monitoring and maintenance protocols to report on and review the existing suite of Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) Climate Change Indicators and their corresponding data sets  3.5 Target engagement with educators, business leaders, state, municipalities, and local managers to enable incorporation of climate information/impacts into their decision- making		

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 3 of 13

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 4 of 13

stressors. An increased understanding of these interactions is necessary to successfully assess climate impacts, and the effectiveness of restoration and protection policies, programs and projects.			3.4 Target engagement with educators, business leaders, state, municipalities, and local managers to enable incorporation of climate information/impacts into their decision- making		
		Out	come: Adaptation	1	
Outcome Adaptation: Stakeholder engagement. Although there is acknowledgement that climate change and adaptation need to be addressed, there is a lack of understanding or agreement from stakeholders on what it means to be resilient or what constitutes resiliency, including what kind of actions support an adaptive management approach. Lack of appropriate stakeholder engagement jeopardizes acceptance of choices made about action plans and implementation strategies, introducing	Facilitated online climate academy using Chesapeake Exploration (Bart Merrick); Virginia Resiliency Workshop in coordination with education community (Bart Merrick); BWET Grant with TNC focusing on resiliency and stakeholder engagement.	Lack of collective agreement; lack of coordination among stakeholders; lack of collaboration; hesitance to discuss managed retreat as an option.	4.3 Convene a subset of Climate Resiliency Workgroup meetings as topic specific / "themed" meetings to allow for information sharing with groups doing similar work and improve cross goal coordination		

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 5 of 13

additional levels of					
social discord in an					
already complex					
environmental-					
economic-social					
landscape. If social					
stability is reduced,					
then policy					
effectiveness would					
likely be reduced.		7 1 6.1			
Outcome	Ongoing Maryland	Lack of time and	<b>1.3</b> Pursue priority		
Adaptation: Lack	Climate Change	resources committed to	recommendations		
of capacity.	Academy and	building capacity to	from STAC		
Institutions and the	related trainings to	understand the science.	workshop on BMP		
private sector have a	build institutional		siting and design		
general lack of	knowledge with		<u>(2017)</u>		
capacity to	infrastructure				
understand the	executives,				
science and	business leaders,		2.2 Maintain listing		
incorporate	municipalities and		<u>or database of</u>		
meaningful change	state/local		<u>climate change</u>		
into plans,	decision-makers;		<u>adaptation efforts</u>		
programs, processes	local city, state and		related to the 2014		
or projects.	university		<u>Chesapeake Bay</u>		
Although building	Sustainability		<u>Agreement</u>		
that capacity is	Coordinators.				
paramount, it can			3.3 Promote and		
be time consuming			support social		
and costly,			<u>marketing</u>		
considering the			assessment to		
resource constraints			<u>understand barriers</u>		
faced by			to implementing		
governments and			<u>living shorelines in</u>		
organizations.			MD, DE, and VA		
			(GIT funding)		
Outcome	Individual	Lack of knowledge of	4.4 Provide		
Adaptation:	jurisdictional	institutional/regulatory	technical assistance		
Authority	incorporation of	barriers; Lack of	to jurisdictions and		
Governments' and	climate narrative	incorporation of	<u>DoD on</u>		
institutions' ability	(or voluntary	climate change across	incorporating		
to respond to	numerical target)	programs.	<u>climate change (via</u>		
climate change is	into WIPs III.		<u>climate change</u>		
also limited by			<u>narrative or</u>		
legislative, policy,			additional		
regulatory and other			measures) into		
authorities.			Phase 3 WIPs in		

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 6 of 13

	I	I		I	1
			conjunction with the Water Quality GIT		
			<b>4.1</b> <u>Utilize the</u>		
			<u>Chesapeake Bay</u>		
			Program's SRS process to conduct a		
			biennial review of		
			the Climate		
			Resiliency Workgroup and		
			assess priorities		
			4.2 <u>Utilize the</u>		
			Chesapeake Bay Program's SRS		
			process to conduct a		
			biennial review of the Climate		
			Resiliency		
			Workgroup and		
Outcome	Ongoing research	Development of clear	<u>assess priorities</u> <b>2.1</b> Consider lessons		
Adaptation:	and models, tools	science, tools and	<u>learned from the</u>		
Adapting to Change and Lack	and metric development by	guidance to develop plans and efficacy of	implementation of state and local-level		
of Guidance.	CBP partners	response; lack of	adaptation planning		
There is currently a	_	extensive information	<u>efforts</u>		
lack of clear science (models, tools and		(or information dissemination) on the			
metrics) and		costs of climate change			
guidance for the		impacts in specific areas, or the cost			
Chesapeake Bay Program, as well as		savings and ecosystem			
stakeholders, to use		benefits represented by			
to develop plans or to measure efficacy		specific mitigation or adaptation measures.			
of response. The					
nature of on-the- ground					
implementation					
often requires					
certainties (e.g., hydrology, water					
quality,					
temperature,					

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 7 of 13

precipitation, sea level rise, coastal erosion rates) that are not yet available for a changing climate.					
Outcome	The Climate	In ability to a abiassa	O 4 Dromoto		
0 000000000		Inability to achieve	3.1 Promote		
Adaptation: Lack	Resiliency	consensus and provide	utilization of		
of Collaboration.	Workgroup meets	consistent approaches.	"climate-smart"		
. The many and	monthly to discuss		decision making		
diverse stakeholders	a variety of climate		tools and products		
and organizations that make up the	topics; NOAA CBO engagement in the				
Bay Program are a	development of the				
strength, but it also	NE Regional Action				
causes collaboration	Plan; NOAA CBO		<b>3.2</b> <u>Promote</u>		
challenges that	engagement with		<u>utilization of</u>		
must be addressed	regional partners		<u>"climate-smart"</u>		
in order to leverage	on outcomes of		decision making		
resources and	Choptank Habitat		tools and products		
provide consistent	Focus area				
approaches across	vulnerability				
the watershed.	assessment.				
Outcome	Climate Resiliency	Lack of capacity to	<b>1.1</b> Pursue priority		
Adaptation:	workgroup	monitor long term the	recommendations		
Variable	development of 7	success of climate	from STAC		
adaptation	unique climate	resiliency indicators	workshop on BMP		
<b>approaches.</b> There is variability	resiliency indicators.		siting and design (2017)		
in institutional	muicators.		1.2 Pursue priority		
responses and the			recommendations		
capacity to respond.			from STAC		
inputity to respond.			workshop on BMP		
			siting and design		
			(2017)		

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 8 of 13

	MONI	TORING & ASSESSMENT ACTI	ONS – 2018-2	2019	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
	ing & Assessment - Management Ap m response to climate change	proach 1: Assess past and future trends in se	a level, precipitati	on patterns, ten	perature and
1.1	Design, implement and maintain annual monitoring and maintenance protocols to report on and review the existing suite of Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) Climate Change Indicators and their corresponding data sets	Based on the climate change indicator criteria, continue to evaluate if additional data is available to develop future Climate Change indicators including but not limited to a Fish Population distribution Indicator and Bay Water Temperature Indicator as well as explore opportunities for tracking and reporting of relevant climate data for existing Tree Canopy Indicator	CRWG coordinator (NOAA), EPA Indicator Coordinator, CRWG, relevant workgroups		
	ing & Assessment - Management Ap lata and research gaps	proach 2: Develop a research agenda to imp	rove understandin	g of climate imp	acts and fill
2.1	Review recommendations of the 2018 STAC Climate Change Modeling 2.0 workshop and identify and implement follow-up actions in conjunction with the Water Quality GIT	Inform climate projections and scenarios for input into future Chesapeake Bay watershed and estuarine modeling processes	CRWG, Modeling Workgroup, Water Quality GIT		
2.2	Review recommendations of the 2018 STAC Climate Change Modeling 2.0 workshop and identify and implement follow-up actions in conjunction with the Water Quality GIT	Brief the Climate Resiliency Workgroup on the completed Climate Change Modeling 2.0 Workshop report, relevant findings and identify next steps	CRWG, Modeling Workgroup, Water Quality GIT		
2.3	Pursue research to support better understanding of precipitation changes with regards to intensity, annual amounts, seasonal impacts, storm events and storm water management	Pursue research opportunities to address climate impacts due to precipitation changes to inform the TMDL	CRWG, Modeling Workgroup, Water Quality GIT		
		proach 3: Undertake public, stakeholder and	l local engagement	t to increase und	lerstanding of
3.1	Promote the availability and accessibility of climate and other related science data and information	Develop a Chesapeake Bay Data and Mapping Portal of existing climate data and mapping in support of Chesapeake Bay Program needs	CBP GIS Team (USGS), CRWG		

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 9 of 13

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
3.2	Promote the availability and accessibility of climate and other related science data and information	Explore opportunities to provide NMFS regional downscaled climate model data to Chesapeake Bay Program Partners	NOAA, Fish GIT, CRWG, Water Quality GIT, Habitat GIT		
3.3	Maintain a database of climate change research efforts related to the 2014 Chesapeake Bay Agreement	Update 2016 Compendium of Chesapeake Bay Climate Change Research Efforts	CRC Staffers; NOAA; CRWG		
<b>3∙4</b>	Target engagement with educators, business leaders, state, municipalities, and local managers to enable incorporation of climate information/impacts into their decision-making	Work with existing Chesapeake Bay educational network to provide data, information, and topical experts in support of targeted engagement related to climate change impacts	NOAA, CBNERRS, CRWG, Local Leadership workgroup		
<b>3</b> ∙5	Target engagement with educators, business leaders, state, municipalities, and local managers to enable incorporation of climate information/impacts into their decision-making	Develop partnerships to investigate opportunities for a "Chesapeake Bay Climate Adaptation Workshop"	CRWG		
3.6	Target engagement with educators, business leaders, state, municipalities, and local managers to enable incorporation of climate information/impacts into their decision-making	Identify existing regional conferences, forums and workshops that could support a "Chesapeake Bay Climate Adaptation Workshop" or adaptation related training	CRWG		

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 10 of 13

		ADAPTATION ACTIONS – 20	018-2019		
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
Adaptation reality	on - Management Approach 1: Addr	ess the design and function of Best Manager	nent Practices (BM	IPs) under a nev	v climate
1.1		Review and compile general guidance for BMP siting and design under future climate change	CRWG, WQGIT, NOAA, CRC Staff		
1.2	Pursue priority recommendations from STAC workshop on BMP siting	Develop long term plans to address the broader, fundamental science needs of climate impacts on BMPs	CRWG, WQGIT, NOAA, CRC Staff		
1.3	and design (2017)	Pursue social marketing research related to improving understanding of the barriers to, as well as the benefits of, implementation of environmentally-sensitive shoreline management* (GIT funding)	Communications Workgroup, CRWG, MDE		
Adaptati lessons l		ement and track priority adaptation actions	, their effectivenes	s and ecological	response and
2.1	Consider lessons learned from the implementation of state and local-level adaptation planning efforts	Review and discuss state level adaptation plans at future CRWG meetings to determine commonalities, gaps, data needs and lessons learned to inform future actions of the workgroup	CRWG, Modeling Workgroup, UMCES, VIMS, DCNR		
2.2	Maintain listing or database of climate change adaptation efforts related to the 2014 Chesapeake Bay Agreement	Review usage patterns of Climate Resiliency Workgroup newsletters and if warranted, update and promote the 2016 Compendium of Chesapeake Bay Climate Change Adaptation Efforts	CRC Staffers; NOAA; CRWG		
		inually increase knowledge about the resilient flooding, more intense and frequent storm			hed from the
3.1	Promote utilization of "climate-smart" decision making tools and products	Train Chesapeake Bay Program Staff and CRWG members on Chesapeake Bay Program Climate Smart Framework & Decision support tool and US Global Change Research Program's Climate Resilience Toolkit 5 step planning process, case studies and tools for utilization in their work and for incorporation with their stakeholders	NOAA in conjunction with other GITs and workgroups		

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 11 of 13

		ADAPTATION ACTIONS – 20	018-2019		
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
3.2		Apply Chesapeake Bay Climate-Smart framework in coordination with two new/additional Chesapeake Bay Program workgroups or GITs	NOAA, CRWG, other GITs and workgroups		
3.3	Promote and support social marketing assessment to understand barriers to implementing living shorelines in MD, DE, and VA (GIT funding)	Convene subset of Chesapeake Bay Program staff and partners to conduct review of existing Chesapeake Bay Program social marketing research, strategies and relevant work	Communications Workgroup, MDE, CRWG, Citizen Stewardship workgroup, Wetlands workgroup		
		ess the institutional capacity of the Chesape	ake Bay Program t	o prepare for ar	nd respond to
climate c	Utilize the Chesapeake Bay Program's SRS process to conduct a biennial review of the Climate Resiliency Workgroup and assess priorities	Develop Climate Resiliency Workgroup work plan, logic table and update management strategies to determine the workgroup approach and actions for the next two years	CRWG		
4.2	Trongroup and access promises	Prepare Climate Resiliency Workgroup for the next round of SRS reviews by the Chesapeake Bay Program's Management Board	CRWG	-	
4.3	Convene a subset of Climate Resiliency Workgroup meetings as topic specific / "themed" meetings to allow for information sharing with groups doing similar work and improve cross goal coordination	Themes may include convening meeting of practitioners to share examples of climate adaptation measures of storm water BMPs; addressing sea level rise impacts; resiliency, shoreline condition and response; inland and urban flooding; as well as stream health and condition	CRWG; topical experts		
4.4	Provide technical assistance to jurisdictions and DoD on incorporating climate change (via climate change narrative or additional measures) into Phase 3 WIPs in conjunction with the Water Quality GIT	Analyze, synthesize and provide a synopsis of lessons learned, approaches, etc. across the climate change sections of jurisdictions Phase III WIPs (2019/2020) and provide	CRWG, WQGT, MDE		

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 12 of 13

Updated February 8, 2024 Page 13 of 13