## BIENNIAL STRATEGY REVIEW SYSTEM Chesapeake Bay Program



## Logic and Action Plan: Post Quarterly Progress Meeting

## Land Use Options Evaluations – 2018-2019

[NOTE: make sure to edit **pre**- or **post**- in the text above, to tell the reader whether this logic and action plan is in preparation for your quarterly progress meeting or has been updated based on discussion at the quarterly progress meeting.]

Long-term Target: (the metric for success of Outcome) Two-year Target: (increment of metric for success)

**Instructions:** Before your quarterly progress meeting, provide the status of individual actions in the table below using this color key. Action has been completed or is moving forward as planned.

Action has encountered minor obstacles.

Action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier.

Additional instructions for completing or updating your logic and action plan can be found on <u>ChesapeakeDecisions</u>.

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential (to help fill this gap) to achieve our outcome?	What will we measure or observe to determine progress in filling identified gap?	How and when do we expect these actions to address the identified gap? How might that affect our work going forward?	What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?
Partner Coordination	Work with Land Use Workgroup and Forestry Workgroup on projects and the Land Change Model	Additional capacity, leadership, participation across related outcomes and workgroups	2.1 Integrate the results of the GIT FY15/16 (1.2 above) Funding project, "Evaluation of land use policy options, incentives, and planning tools"	HWGIT staff will keep a log of relevant meetings and actions related to these efforts.	A more coordinated response to shared outcomes.	This outcome requires input and coordination from multiple groups.

into an agreed upon	
location to assist in	
outreach on this	
topic to local and	
state land use	
officials.	
<b>2.2</b> Link the results	
of the Land Use	
Methods and	
Metrics outcome to	
the Land Use	
Evaluations	
Workplan	
<b>2.3</b> Explore the	
development and	
implementation of a	
methodology to	
establish climate	
related goals and	
baseline for	
individual	
Chesapeake Bay	
Agreement	
Management	
Strategies such as	
the Land Use	
options Evaluation	
Management	
Strategy	
<b>3.1</b> <u>Review other</u>	
local government	
outreach related	
outcome and actions	
items from across	
goal teams and work	
to assure there is a	
coordinated effort to	
obtain input from	
Local Governments	
and Stakeholders	
<b>4.1</b> Work	
<u>collaboratively with</u>	

			Bay Program partners to identify legislative,budgetary and policy needs to advance the goals of the Chesapeake Bay Agreement. We will, in turn, pursue action within our member state General Assemblies and the United States Congress. (Per CBC Resolution #14-1).			
Technical Understanding	FY15 GIT Funding Project – UMD National Center for Smart Growth determined the spectrum of existing policy options, incentives and planning tools currently being implemented at the local and state level HWF-TMDL Project Phase I provided understanding on the monetary benefit and future offset savings of forestland retention HWF-TMDL Project Phase II worked with local officials to develop a toolbox of policy, regulatory, and financial incentives to conserve forests	Need additional understanding on how to effectively engage local governments which will require partner coordination and additional capacity	<ul> <li>1.1 Conduct a detailed scope of work that includes important considerations, examples, estimated hours, and initial cost estimates to complete this management approach</li> <li>1.2 Evaluate existing Land Use policy options, incentives, and planning tools to reduce the rate of conversion of agricultural lands, forests, and wetlands</li> <li>2.2 Link the results of the Land Use Methods and Metrics outcome to the Land Use Evaluations Workplan</li> </ul>	We have developed resources and materials that are useful	Detailed resources available to locals aimed at reducing the rate of farm, forest and wetland conversion.	A report is not enough. We need to determine how best to reach those who need these resources and to package it in a useful way.

Education and Outreach: Local and State Governments	FY15 GIT Funding Project – UMD National Center for Smart Growth determined existing policy options, incentives and planning tools which could be shared to local governments across the Watershed HWF-TMDL Project Phase III component 1 will create a training on how to implement a toolbox of policy, regulatory, and financial incentives to conserve forests HWF-TMDL	Local governments need better information on the variety of benefits of land conservation and on the variety of smart growth options Actions, tools, and technical support needed to empower local government and others needs to be compiled, packaged, and provided to our audiences.	2.1 Integrate the results of the GIT FY15/16 (1.2 above) Funding project, "Evaluation of land use policy options, incentives, and planning tools" into an agreed upon location to assist in outreach on this topic to local and state land use officials.		
Local Government Agency Engagement	Project Phase II worked with local officials to develop a toolbox of policy, regulatory, and financial incentives to conserve forests	of effort varies across the watershed for promoting and implementing smart growth measures. Need to understand local government priorities and what options are most effective for them in order to get buy-in	<ul> <li>2.1 Integrate the results of the GIT FY15/16 (1.2 above)</li> <li>Funding project,</li> <li>"Evaluation of land use policy options, incentives, and planning tools"</li> <li>into an agreed upon location to assist in outreach on this topic to local and state land use officials.</li> <li>3.1 Review other local government outreach related outcome and actions items from across goal teams and work to assure there is a coordinated effort to</li> </ul>		

			obtain input from		
			Local Governments		
			and Stakeholders		
Legislative	FY15 GIT Funding Project – UMD	Conflicting efforts for local	<b>2.1</b> <u>Integrate the</u>		
Engagement:	National Center for	governments	results of the GIT		
Political	Smart Growth	Soverinnentis	FY15/16 (1.2 above)		
Challenges	determined		Funding project,		
	existing policy		"Evaluation of land		
	options, incentives and planning tools.		use policy options,		
	HWF-TMDL		incentives, and		
	Project Phase II		planning tools"		
	worked with local		into an agreed upon		
	officials to develop a toolbox of policy,		location to assist in		
	regulatory, and		outreach on this		
	regulatory, and financial incentives		topic to local and		
	to conserve forests.		state land use		
	These tools could be shared and		officials.		
	implemented in				
	local governments				
	across the				
	Watershed				
	NGO land trust				
	efforts to minimize				
	future land change				
	impacts and				
	increase smart growth				
	giowili				
	TMDL efforts to				
	mitigate future				
	land change				
Dennlation	impacts to the Bay NGO, land trusts,	Need more			
Population Growth:	and TMDL efforts	incentives like			
Development	to minimize future	crediting			
Development	land change and	conservation to			
	mitigate impacts to	reduce land			
	the Bay	conversion to developed and			
		impervious			
		surfaces			
Funding and	GIT Funding	Are there	4.1 <u>Work</u>		
Finances	(UMD NCSĞ and VA DOF	additional viable funding resources	<u>collaboratively with</u>		
	HWF-TMDL	other than GIT	Bay Program		
	projects)	funding to create	partners to identify		
	× • ·	increase capacity	legislative,		
		to achieve	budgetary and		

		Management Approach 2 and 3?	policy needs to advance the goals of the Chesapeake Bay Agreement. We will, in turn, pursue action within our member state General Assemblies and the United States Congress. (Per CBC Resolution #14-1)		
Funding and Finances: Ensure continued affordability of forests, wetlands, and farmland	HWF-TMDL Project Phase III component 2 will create a credit mechanism that encourages optimization of land use planning decisions that conserve natural lands	Need better financial incentives like crediting conservation optimization of land use planning decisions that conserve natural lands			

		ACTIONS – 201	8-2019		
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
Managemen	nt Approach 1:		·		
1.1	Conduct a detailed scope of work that includes important considerations, examples, estimated hours, and initial cost estimates to complete this management approach	<ol> <li>Apply for GIT FY14 Funding</li> <li>Awarded contract to Tetra Tech Finalize draft report</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>HWGIT</li> <li>CBT and HWGIT Staff</li> <li>Tetra Tech, HWGIT</li> <li>Staff</li> </ol>	N/A	1. Early 2015 2. Mid 2015 3. Late 2015
1.2	Evaluate existing Land Use policy options, incentives, and planning tools to reduce the rate of conversion of agricultural lands, forests, and wetlands	<ol> <li>Draft GIT FY15 and FY16 Funding proposals</li> <li>Work with awardee to complete policy evaluations as outlined in MS</li> <li>Review results and evaluate next steps (yellow)</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>HWGIT Staff</li> <li>Contractor</li> <li>HWGIT and CBP</li> <li>partner group</li> </ol>	Bay-wide	<ol> <li>September 2015</li> <li>January – December 2016</li> <li>2019-20</li> </ol>

		ACTIONS – 2018	8-2019		
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
		<ul> <li><u>The Conservation Land Use</u> <u>Policy Toolkit</u> report was completed and placed on the Healthy Watersheds GIT website.</li> <li>Phase II of the Healthy Watershed Forest Retention Project resulted in a comprehensive "<u>toolbox</u>" of The options focused on developing and implementing solutions to address the barriers and challenges related to conservation.</li> </ul>			
1.3					
Management	Approach 2:				
2.1	Integrate the results of the GIT FY15/16 (1.2 above) Funding project, "Evaluation of land use policy options, incentives, and planning tools" into an agreed upon location to assist in outreach on this topic to local and state land use officials	<ol> <li>Review results and evaluate next steps</li> <li>Work with local leadership group and LGAC to review results and determine the best outreach mechanism</li> </ol>	1. HWGIT Staff HWGIT Staff, Local Leadership Workgroup, LGAC, CAC	N/A	1. 2019-20 2. 2019-20
2.2	Link the results of the Land Use Methods and Metrics outcome to the Land Use Evaluations Workplan	1. Work with HWGIT and Land Use Options Evaluations Management Strategy team to link the results of land use methods and metrics analyses and results to determine how best to assist communities in reducing the rate of conversion	1. HWGIT, CAC, LGAC, CBP Communications Team, and other CBP partners	N/A	1. 2021 (based on data availability)

ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
.3	Explore the development and implementation of a methodology to establish climate related goals and baseline for individual Chesapeake Bay Agreement Management Strategies such as the Land Use options Evaluation Management Strategy	<ol> <li>Develop suite of climate indicators and prioritize for indicator development.</li> <li>Work with the Climate Resiliency Workgroup to understand and apply the results of the project related to the <u>development of climate change</u> <u>indicators and metrics for the</u> <u>Chesapeake Bay</u>.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Climate Resiliency Workgroup, ERG, STAR</li> <li>Climate Resiliency</li> <li>Workgroup, HW GIT and Land Use</li> <li>Options leads</li> </ol>	Bay-wide	1. 2018 – 2020 2. 2019-2021
.4	Create a framework for understanding the links between land conservation and water quality to create "incentives" for localities to reduce conversion and conserve more natural lands.	1. Phase III of the Healthy Watershed Forest Retention project is aimed at working with two VA localities to develop and implement plans, policies and ordinances to foster high quality (HQ) forest and HQ agricultural land retention drawing from the "tool box" of options identified in Phase II. In addition, Phase III will model and pilot long-term funding mechanisms supported by the private sector that may be scaled up and implemented on a landscape scale.	1. HWGIT, Contractor Team		
.5	Better connect the results of this outcome with the Land Policy BMP Development: CBP GIS team has worked to allow users of the Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool (CAST) to calculate changes in	Linking to policy, incentives and planning tools associated with this outcome to the land policy BMPs will serve to better illustrated the potential for planning responses to reduced pollution rates. In addition, it helps to Pollutant loads (pounds of nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment) from current zoning conditionals as a result of conservation and planning actions.	HWGIT, LUWG, CBP GIS Team, Communications.	CBW (some states have not developed Land Policy BMP scenarios)	2019-2020

		1	8-2019		0
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
3.1	Review other local government outreach related outcome and actions items from across goal teams and work to assure there is a coordinated effort to obtain input from Local Governments and Stakeholders	<ol> <li>Meet with other GIT staff</li> <li>Determine whether some actions can be combined</li> <li>Work collaboratively toward multiple actions/outcomes</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>HWGIT and other GIT Staff</li> <li>GIT Leadership</li> <li>All</li> </ol>	N/A	<ol> <li>Late 2015</li> <li>Early 2016</li> <li>2016-2017</li> </ol>
Managemen	t Approach 4:				
4.1	Work collaboratively with Bay Program partners to identify legislative, budgetary and policy needs to advance the goals of the Chesapeake Bay Agreement. We will, in turn, pursue action within our member state General Assemblies and the United States Congress. (Per CBC Resolution #14-1)	1. Work with GIT to consider policy changes or legislative actions identified by the GIT	1. CBC	1. PA, MD, VA	1. Ongoing