

Forage Fish Outcome - 2020-2021

Long-term Target: Develop a comprehensive suite of indicators that will track the status of the Chesapeake Bay forage base. **Two-year Target:** Develop 1-3 initial indicators that can be used to assess the status of forage in Chesapeake Bay.

Instructions: Before your quarterly progress meeting, provide the status of individual actions in the table below using this color key.

Action has been completed or is moving forward as planned.

Action has encountered minor obstacles.

Action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier.

Additional instructions for completing or updating your logic and action plan can be found on ChesapeakeDecisions.

Additional instruction	is for completing or	updating your logic ar	ia action pian can be	tound on <u>Chesapear</u>	epecisions.	
T. Cu	rrant				Expected	
Efforts Gap Actions Metrics Response and Learn/Adap Application						
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential (to help fill this gap) to achieve our outcome?	What will we measure or observe to determine progress in filling identified gap?	How and when do we expect these actions to address the identified gap? How might that affect our work	What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?

					going forward?	
Scientific and Technical Understanding: Lack an understanding of the presence, abundance, and diversity of forage species in shallow water estuarine habitats and the	Small-scale citizen science forage monitoring effort with local watershed organizations led by PEARL. State trawl and seine surveys provide	Need more comprehensive sampling of forage abundance and nearshore habitat use across the Bay to better understand how populations are affected by	3.1 Continue to support research efforts related to key forage species and consider how results can be applied to indicator development and management.	Increased understanding of factors affecting forage status in the Bay.	Several research studies and analyses are expected to be completed within the next two years. The results of these various research efforts will contribute to the development	Support for key research has been a major driver bringing this action team closer to the development of a suite of forage indices.

Updated March 3, 2020 Page 1 of 6

influence of environmental and anthropogenic factors on forage abundance.	some forage abundance data. Various GIT- and NCBO-funded research projects focused on forage species and habitat.	environmental factors and habitat availability. Plankton monitoring would provide essential information about food availability for forage species in the Bay.	4.1 Collaborate with CBP partners and other interested parties to identify and evaluate options for shallow water monitoring and plankton surveys.		of forage indicators, which will assess and track the status of the forage base in the Bay.	There is continued interest in filling Shallow water monitoring needs but the team needs higher level management support. In the future, action team membership should be identified to "champion" and lead conversations surrounding monitoring.
Partner Coordination: Coordinated support and participation across CBP partners are needed to facilitate	The 2014 STAC workshop identified a suite of potential forage indicator species. A GIT-funded study identified	Need to identify forage species most important to managers. Require collaborative input on potential	1.1 Prioritize key species for indicator development.	Development of forage indicators.	Development of forage indicators to assess the status of the forage base in the Bay is the primary goal of the Forage Fish Outcome. The first	The Indicator Development Plan, that guided the team's indicator discussion/implementa tion proved to be a useful/effective tool for providing

better understanding and management of the forage base. Collaboration on the selection of indicators to monitor the forage base would ensure multiple benefits of development and use amongst managers and other CBP partners.	a suite of potential forage indicators. The shoreline threshold study was presented to the Fish GIT and the Forage Action Team.	forage indicators from federal, state, and nongovernmental entities. Need to collaborate with other CBP workgroups and partners to make cross-cutting, mutually-benefic ial connections for indicator development and monitoring.	2.1 Develop an initial suite of indicators to assess and track the status of the forage base available to predators. 2.2 Assist Climate Resiliency Workgroup in identifying and evaluating climate indicators related to forage. 4.1 Collaborate	Increased	indicators are expected to be complete within the next two years. These initial indicators will provide a framework on which we can continue to develop indicators that will be useful for managers.	direction/priorities for the team
	monitoring.		with CBP partners and other interested parties to identify and evaluate options for shallow water monitoring and zooplankton surveys.	understanding of factors affecting forage status in the Bay.		

Updated March 3, 2020 Page ${f 2}$ of ${f 6}$

importan status of base is ke ensuring understar investme	tion, and nent nent: icating the ice and ithe forage ey to nding of and int in a Chesapeake m. icating of forage and ing ons that the ilable inform	Communicated the value of forage to the public through a CBP video. Recommended the prioritization of BMPs that benefit forage species and fish habitat for a Fish Habitat WIP Fact Sheet. The Fish GIT and the Forage Action Team regularly schedule research presentations to inform partners of forage-related projects.	Need to synthesize and present research in ways that can engage a variety of audiences.	3.2 Communicate the results of ongoing forage research to a variety of audiences.	Increased awarenes importan forage in and facto affect forage sta	ss of the ce of the Bay ors that	distribut media con bring awarene importar forage public. research ensure to best avail data are	eness of the rtance of e to the c. Sharing arch will also re that the available are to inform egement ions. emphasize th importance of effectively hig progress/resumultiple Bay audiences. In future, we play coordinate we communicating d professional create effection materials shot forage value, trends. There emphasis on relationships		rtance of tively highlighting ress/results for ple Bay Program ences. In the e, we plan to dinate with munication-focuse of essionals to e effective rials showcasing e value, status and dis. There will be masis on the onships between e "health" and
				ACTIONS – 202	0-2021					
Actio n #	Description		Per	Performance Target(s)		Responsible Party (or Parties)		Geograj ic Locatio		Expected Timeline
Manag	gement App	oroach 1: Identify	and prioritize fora	nge species.						
1.1	Prioritize key species for indicator development.		the 2014 STAC species for initi https://www.ch	e and predator species lisworkshop report, identify al indicator development resapeakebay.net/documorage_workshop.pdf	priority	Forage Act	ion Team	Bay-wide		Spring 2020

Management Approach 2: Evaluate and communicate status of priority forage species.								
2.1	Develop an initial suite of indicators to assess and track the status of the forage base available to predators.	Select 1-3 priority forage indicators related to habitat, predator consumption, and abundance for which data already exist.	Forage Action Team	Bay-wide	Spring 2020			
		Develop selected indicators.	NCBO, Forage Action Team	Bay-wide	November 2021			

Updated March 3, 2020 Page 3 of 6

Opdated r	March 3, 2020 Page 3 of 6				
2.2	Assist Climate Resiliency Workgroup in identifying and evaluating climate indicators related to forage.	Advise CRWG on how forage abundance and distribution may be incorporated into a climate resiliency indicator.	Forage Action Team, NCBO, CRWG, PIs	Bay-wide	Fall 2020
Manag	gement Approach 3: Inform mana	gement decisions to better address sust	ainability of the	forage base.	
3.1	Continue to support research efforts related to key forage species and consider how results can be applied to indicator development and	Work with CBP partners to develop a GIS product that maps shoreline conditions around the Chesapeake Bay using the shoreline development thresholds identified.	Forage Action Team, Fish Habitat Action Team, GIS Team	Bay-wide	Summer 2020
	management.	Use the shoreline threshold results and map to inform a habitat indicator of percent shoreline development for forage.	Forage Action Team	Bay-wide	November 2021
		Complete the NCBO-funded Habitat Suitability Model study and use the results to inform an indicator of available suitable habitat for forage.	Forage Action Team, Pls	Bay-wide	November 2021
		Complete the NCBO-funded studies of key predators in shallow foraging habitats and use the results to inform a predator consumption indicator.	Forage Action Team, Pls	Bay-wide	November 2021

		Evaluate potential for GIT/CBT to fund research on the abundance and population dynamics of key forage species (e.g., mysids).	Forage Action Team, NCBO, PIs	Bay-wide	Spring 2021
		Provide support for the Bay-specific abundance estimate analyses and use the results to inform forage indicators.	NCBO, PIs, Forage Action Team	Bay-wide	Winter 2021
		Provide support for the Chesapeake Bay telemetry network and use the tagging data to inform movements of key predators and forage species in the Bay.	NCBO	Bay-wide	Ongoing
3.2	Communicate the results of ongoing forage research to a variety of audiences.	Examine opportunities to create videos, articles, and other content to share the importance of forage and project results with the scientific community and the larger Chesapeake Bay community.	Forage Action Team, Comms Team, PIs	Bay-wide	Ongoing

Updated March 3, 2020 Page 4 of 6

		Present forage-related research to the Fish GIT, the Forage Action Team, and other relevant groups.	Forage Action Team, Pls	Bay-wide	Ongoing
Manag	ement Approach 4: Maximize the	e efficiency of monitoring programs and	build on existing	g efforts.	
4.1	Collaborate with CBP partners and other interested groups to identify and evaluate options for shallow water monitoring and plankton surveys.	Evaluate the potential for field sampling of forage species in nearshore habitats at sentinel sites around the Bay in coordination with partners.	Forage Action Team, SAV WG, TNC	Bay-wide	Fall 2020
		Identify and evaluate options for sampling plankton communities to inform forage indicators.	Forage Action Team, NCBO	Bay-wide	Spring 2021

	Examine the potential to expand the citizen science monitoring effort throughout the Bay and to conduct analyses of forage-habitat associations.	Forage Action Team, PEARL, PIs	Bay-wide	Ongoing

Updated March 3, 2020 Page **5** of **6** Updated March 3, 2020 Page **6** of **6**