

RI-9,10 Practice Credit Duration Vote				
Role	Member	Affiliation	Vote	Notes
Signatory Members	Clint Gill	DE	ENDORSE	
	Elizabeth Hoffman	MD	ENDORSE	
	Greg Albrecht	NY	ENDORSE	
	Frank Schneider	PA	ENDORSE	
	Seth Mullins	VA	ENDORSE	
	Cindy Shreve	WV	ENDORSE	
	Marel King	CBC	ENDORSE	
	Jeff Sweeney	EPA	STAND ASIDE	After further discussion and examination the EPA has decided to change its vote on RI practices to a “stand aside” with the following note: With regard to the extension of credit durations of Resource Improvement Practices 9 and 10, the EPA has to date been a hold. This has been due to concerns related to the equivalency of NRCS and RI forest buffers within the Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool (CAST). EPA has decided to move to a stand aside on the decision to equate the credit durations of the RI version of forest buffers and those under a public agency contract following NRCS practice standards, until such time that the technology is available to use mapping tools that may more accurately portray land use changes and determine riparian forest buffers gains and losses in the Bay watershed.
At-Large Members	Jeff Hill	York Cty. Conservation	ENDORSE	
	Evin Fitzpatrick	Country View Family Farms	STAND ASIDE	
	Leon Tillman	NRCS	ENDORSE	
	Dave Graybill	Farm Bureau	ENDORSE	
	Jenna Schueler	CBF	ENDORSE	
	Ken Staver	UMD	STAND ASIDE	
	Paul Bredwell	US Poultry and Egg Association	STAND ASIDE	
	RO Britt	Smithfield Foods	ENDORSE	
	Emily Dekar	USC	ENDORSE	
	Jim Riddell	VA Cattleman Association	ENDORSE	
	Tyler Groh	Penn State	ENDORSE	
	Nick Hepfl	HRG	ENDORSE	

FEG Recommendations				
Role	Member	Affiliation	Vote	Notes
Signatory Members	Clint Gill	DE	STAND ASIDE	DE is comfortable moving forward with the phase 6 decision, but like MD we want to register a comment in support of looking really hard at alternative data sources for fertilizer in phase 7.
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Alternative datasets were not as thoroughly explored given time constraints of initial proposed schedule -- available data addresses latency issue</li> <li>- Parallel discussions in AMT have revealed other concerns with data inputs that would be addressed in Phase 7, so concerns about why to proceed to "fix" portions now - plugging updated data into broken equation. Should wait to evaluate holistically.</li> <li>- Updated fertilizer sales data suggests a significant increase in volume, that is not substantiated by other production information. When included into CAST methods, nitrogen loads from ag increase accordingly.</li> <li>- Concerns about messaging: meaningful implications to the agricultural sector, both in perception of their progress and in engaging the sector to achieve future nutrient reductions. No communications plan at this time</li> </ul>
	Elizabeth Hoffman	MD	STAND ASIDE	
	Greg Albrecht	NY	ENDORSE	endorsed in poll
	Frank Schneider	PA	ENDORSE	
	Seth Mullins	VA	AGREE W/ RES	
	Cindy Shreve	WV	STAND ASIDE	
	Marel King	CBC	AGREE W/ RES	fully supportive. agree with what DE and MD are saying.
	Jeff Sweeney	EPA	ENDORSE	
At-Large Members	Jeff Hill	York Cty. Conservation District	ENDORSE	endorsed in poll
	Evin Fitzpatrick	Country View Family Farms	ENDORSE	endorsed in poll verbal confirmation
	Leon Tillman	NRCS	STAND ASIDE	agree with MD and DE.
	Dave Graybill	Farm Bureau	ENDORSE	
	Jenna Schueler	CBF	ENDORSE	
	Ken Staver	UMD	STAND ASIDE	
	Paul Bredwell	US Poultry and Egg Association	ENDORSE	
	RO Britt	Smithfield Foods	ENDORSE	endorsed in poll verbal confirmation
	Emily Dekar	USC	AGREE W/ RES	NY is a lot different than the other states in the watershed. If NY doesn't have the data to submit, and it is assimilated from other states, I feel that the data will be skewed and potentially affect NY's loads negatively.
	Jim Riddell	VA Cattleman Association	ENDORSE	
	Tyler Groh	Penn State	AGREE W/ RES	endorsed in poll
	Nick Hepfl	HRG	AGREE W/ RES	endorsed in poll