

Urban Watershed Forestry Manual - Part 1

Federal Interagency Stream Restoration Working Group. 1998. Stream corridor restoration. principles, processes and practices. Washington, DC.

FISRWG – See Federal Interagency Stream Restoration Working Group

Galli, J. 1991. Thermal impacts associated with urbanization and stormwater Best Management Practices. Washington, DC: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, Maryland Department of the Environment.

Gardner, R.; Castro, M.; Morgan, R.; Seagle, S. 1996. Nitrogen dynamics in forested lands of the Chesapeake basin. Annapolis, MD: Chesapeake Bay Scientific Technical Advisory Committee.

Garn, H. S. 2002. Effects of lawn fertilizer on nutrient concentrations in runoff from lakeshore lawns, Lauderdale Lakes, WI. Water Resources Investigations Report 02-4130. Reston, VA: U.S. Geological Survey.

Genua, S. M. 2000. Converting power easements into butterfly habitats. Washington, DC: Potomac Electric Power Company (PEPCO). www.butterflybreeders.org/pages/powerase_sg.html. (Accessed 2005).

Georgia Department of Community Affairs. 2002. Model Code: Alternatives to conventional zoning. Atlanta, GA. www.dca.state.ga.us/development/PlanningQualityGrowth/programs/downloads/Model_Code_2004/3.pdf, p. 30-37.

Georgia Forestry Commission. 2002. Community tree planting and establishment guidelines. Dry Branch, GA.

Georgia Forestry Commission. 2001. Georgia model urban forest book. Macon, GA.

GFC – See Georgia Forestry Commission

Gilman, E. F. 1997. Trees for urban and suburban landscapes. Albany, NY: Delmar Publishers.

Goetz, S.; Wright, R.; Smith, A.; Zinecker, E.; Schaub, E. 2003. IKONOS imagery for resource management: Tree cover, impervious surfaces, and riparian buffer analyses in the mid-Atlantic region. Remote Sensing of Environment 88:195-208.
www.whrc.org/resources/published_literature/pdf/GoetzRemSensEnv.03.pdf. (Accessed 2005).

Greenfeld, J.; Herson, L.; Karouna, N.; Bernstein, G. 1991. Forest conservation manual: guidance for the conservation of Maryland's forests during land use changes, under the 1991 Forest Conservation Act. Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments. Prepared for Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, MD.

Hanssen, N. 2003. Waterways and wildlife: a guide to assessing and improving riparian buffer habitat. Aaronsburg, PA: Penns Valley Conservation Association.

References

- Hartman, G.; Scrivener, J. C.; Holtby, L. B.; Powell, L. 1987. Some effects of different streamside treatments on physical conditions and fish population processes in Carnation Creek, a coastal rain forest in British Columbia. In: Salo, E. O.; Cundy, T. W., eds. *Streamside Management: Forestry and Fishery Interactions*. Seattle, WA: University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources; 330-372.
- Head, C. P.; Fisher, R.; O'Brien, M.; Cauthen, R. 2001. Best management practices for community trees: a technical guide to tree conservation in Athens-Clarke County, Georgia. Athens, GA: Athens-Clarke County. www.athensclarkecounty.com/documents/pdf/landscape_management/best_management_practices.pdf. (Accessed 2005).
- International Society of Arboriculture. 2003. New tree planting. Champaign, IL. www.treesaregood.com/treecare/tree_planting.asp. (Accessed 2005).
- Interstate Technology Regulatory Cooperation. 2001. Technical/regulatory guidelines: phytotechnology technical and regulatory guidance document. Washington, DC.
- Irani, F.; Galvin, M. 2002. Strategic urban forests assessment: Baltimore, Maryland. Annapolis, MD: Maryland Department of Natural Resources.
- ISA – See International Society of Arboriculture.
- ITRC – See Interstate Technology Regulatory Cooperation
- Jefferson County, Kentucky. 2002. Land Development Code: Chapter 10. Draft, March 2002, p. 10.1-1 - 10.1-6. www.co.jefferson.ky.us/PlanDev/CodeSections/TreeCanopy.pdf.
- Jones, K. B.; Ritters, K. H.; Wickham, J. D.; Tankersley, R. D.; O'Neill, R. V.; Chaloud, D. J.; Smith, E. R.; Neale, A. C. 1997. An ecological assessment of the U.S. Mid-Atlantic Region: a landscape atlas. EPA/600/R-97/130. Las Vegas, NV: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Kays, B. L. 1980. Relationship of forest destruction and soil disturbance to increased flooding in suburban North Carolina piedmont. In: METRIA—Proceedings of the Third Conference of the Metropolitan Tree Improvement Alliance, Rutgers State University, NJ; 118-125. www.ces.ncsu.edu/fletcher/programs/nursery/metria/metria3/m312.pdf.
- Keating, J. 2002. Trees: the oldest new thing in stormwater treatment? *Stormwater* 3(2); 1-4. www.forester.net/sw_0203_trees.html.
- Kitchell, A.; Schueler, T. 2004. Unified stream assessment: a user's manual. Ellicott City, MD: Center for Watershed Protection.
- Legg, A.; Bannerman, R.; Panuska, J. 1996. Variation in the relation of rainfall to runoff from residential lawns in Madison, WI. USGS Water Resources Investigation Report 96-4194. Reston, VA: U.S. Geological Survey.
- Luley, C.; Bond, J. 2002. A plan to integrate management of urban trees into air quality planning. Naples, NY: Davey Resource Group.

Urban Watershed Forestry Manual - Part 1

- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 1998. Charting the course for deer management in Maryland. Annapolis, MD. www.dnr.state.md.us/wildlife/contents.html. (Accessed 2005).
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2003. Maryland's strategic forest lands assessment. Annapolis, MD. www.dnr.state.md.us/forests/download/sfla_report.pdf. (Accessed 2004).
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2002. Forest health report. Annapolis, MD. www.dnr.state.md.us/forests/healthreport/urban.html. (Accessed 2005).
- Maryland State Highway Administration. 2000. Woody vegetation management standards. In: Integrated Vegetation Management Manual for Maryland Highways. Baltimore, MD; 4.1-4.12.
- Maryland Turfgrass Council. 1996. Maryland turfgrass survey: an economic value study. College Park, MD: Maryland Agricultural Statistics Service.
- McClurkin, D.; Diffy, P.; Ursic, S.; Nelson, N. 1985. Water quality effects of clearcutting upper coastal plain loblolly pine plantations. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 14(3): 329-332.
- McElfish, J. M. 2004. Nature-friendly ordinances. Environmental Law Institute. Washington, DC.
- McPherson, E. G.; Simpson, J. R.; Scott, K. I. 1997. Benefits from shade trees in parking lots. Davis, CA: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Western Center for Urban Forest Research and Education. Briefing Paper.
- McPherson, S. 2001. Sacramento's parking lot shading ordinance: environmental and economic costs of compliance. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 57 (2001): 105-123. http://cufr.ucdavis.edu/products/cufr_74_EM01_62.PDF. (Accessed 2005).
- MD DNR – See Maryland Department of Natural Resources
- MD SHA – See Maryland State Highway Administration
- Metro, 2002. Trees for green streets: an illustrated guide. Portland, OR. www.metro-region.org/. (Accessed 2005).
- Montgomery County Deer Management Work Group. 2004. Comprehensive management plan for white-tailed deer in Montgomery County, Maryland: Goals, Objectives, Implementation. Silver Spring, MD.
- Mostaghimi, T.; Wynn, M.; Frazee, J.; McClelland, P.; Shaffer, R.; Aust, W. 1994. Effects of forest harvesting best management practices on surface water quality in the Virginia coastal plain. Blacksburg, VA: Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- MTC – See Maryland Turfgrass Council
- Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2001. Natural resources inventory—2001 annual NRI: urbanization and development of rural land. www.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/land/nri01/nri01dev.html. (Accessed 2005).

- NC DOT – See North Carolina Department of Transportation
- NEGRDC – See Northeast Georgia Regional Development Center
- North Carolina Department of Transportation. [N.d.]. Guidelines for planting within highway right-of-way. Raleigh, NC: Department of Highways.
- Northeast Georgia Regional Development Center. 2004. Forest areas of local importance. Athens, GA. www.negrdc.org/departments/planning/forestareas.asp. (Accessed 2005).
- Nowak, D. The effects of urban trees on air quality. 1999. Syracuse, NY; USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Station. www.fs.fed.us/ne/syracuse/gif/trees.pdf. (Accessed 2005).
- Nowak, D.; Crane, D.; Stevens, J. 2002a. Baltimore's urban forest. Syracuse, NY: USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station.
- Nowak, D.; Crane, D.; Stevens, J.; Ibarra, M. 2002b. Brooklyn's urban forest. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-290. Syracuse, NY: USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station. www.fs.fed.us/ne/newtown_square/publications/technical_reports/pdfs/2002/gtrne290.pdf. (Accessed 2005).
- Nowak, D.; O'Connor, P. 2001. Syracuse urban forest master plan: Guiding the city's forest resources into the 21st century. Newtown Square, PA: USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station. www.fs.fed.us/ne/newtown_square/publications/technical_reports/pdfs/2001/gtrne287.pdf.
- Nowak, D. J.; Twardus, D.; Scott, C. T. 2000. Proposal for urban forest health monitoring in the U.S. In: Society of American Foresters National Conference Proceedings. Washington, DC; 178-183.
- NRCS – See Natural Resources Conservation Service
- Oliver, C. D.; Hinckley, T. M. 1987. Species stand structures and silvicultural manipulation patterns for the streamside zone. In: Salo, E. O.; Cundy, T. W., eds. Streamside Management: forestry and fishery interactions. Seattle, WA: University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources; 259-276.
- Pacific Forest Trust. 2004. Glossary. Portland, OR. www.pacificforest.org/about/glossary.html.
- Painton-Orndorff, S.; Todd, A.; Fitch, A. 2004. Identification of forests and wetlands of high value or importance for protecting watershed integrity and water quality: Assessment documentation. Chesapeake Bay Resource Lands Assessment. Annapolis, MD: Chesapeake Bay Program.
- Palone, R.; Todd, A., eds. 1998. Chesapeake Bay riparian handbook: a guide for establishing and maintaining riparian forest buffers. NA-TP-02-97. Radnor [Newtown Square], PA: USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry; Natural Resources Conservation Service; Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service. www.chesapeakebay.net/pubs/subcommittee/nsc/forest/handbook.htm.
- Passmore, W. [N.d.]. Stormwater management and urban forestry. Jackson, MS: Mississippi Forestry Commission. www.mfc.state.ms.us/urban/pdf/stormwater.pdf.
- Patterson, J. C. 1995. Creative site planning alternatives. In: METRIA 5: Proceedings of the Fifth Conference of the Metropolitan Tree Improvement Alliance. University Park, PA; 85-91.

Urban Watershed Forestry Manual - Part 1

- Pennsylvania Turfgrass Council. 1989. Pennsylvania turfgrass survey. State College, PA: Pennsylvania State University College of Agriculture.
- Perry, T. O. 1994. Size, design and management of tree planting sites. In: Watson, G.; Neely, D., eds. *The landscape below ground*. Savoy, IL: International Society of Arboriculture; 3-15.
- PFT – See Pacific Forest Trust
- Pitt, R. 1987. Small storm urban flow and particulate washoff contribution to outfall discharge. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- Pitt, D. G.; Gould, W. G.; LaSota, L. 1986. Landscape design to reduce surface water pollution in residential areas. Water Resources Information Bulletin No. 5. College Park, MD: University of Maryland Cooperative Extension.
- Portland Bureau of Environmental Services. 2000. Clean River Plan. Portland, OR.
- Portland Parks and Recreation. 2003. Portland Urban Forestry Management Plan. Portland, OR.
- Prince William County, Virginia. 2002. Design and Construction Manual: Chapter 8. p. TBL-2.
- PTC – See Pennsylvania Turfgrass Council
- Puckette, M. 2001. Phytoremediation 101. Proceedings of the Oregon Community Trees Conference. Portland, OR.
- Reynolds, M. K.; Ossenbruggen, H. S. 1991. Planting trees in designed and built community landscapes: Checklist for Success. [Newtown Square], PA: USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry. www.na.fs.fed.us/spfo/pubs/uf/plant_trees/planting_trees.htm.
- Rowntree, R. A.; Nowak, D. J. 1991. Quantifying the role of urban forests in removing atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Journal of Arboriculture* 17(10): 269-275.
- Schueler, T. 2004. An integrated framework to restore small urban watersheds. Ellicott City, MD: Center for Watershed Protection.
- Schueler, T. R. 1995. Site planning for urban stream protection. Ellicott City, MD: Center for Watershed Protection and the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments.
- Schueler, T. 1987. Controlling urban runoff: a practical manual for planning and designing urban best management practices. Washington, DC: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments.
- Schueler, T.; Caraco, D. 2002. The prospects for low impact land development at the watershed level. In: Urbonas, B., ed. *Linking stormwater BMP designs and performance to receiving water impact mitigation*. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers.
- Scott, K. I.; Simpson, J. R.; McPherson, E. G. 1998. Green parking lots: Can trees improve air quality? *California Urban Forests Council Newsletter: CUF Link*. Spring: 1-2.
http://cufr.ucdavis.edu/products/cufr_71_SK98_77.PDF. (Accessed 2003).

References

- Shields, F. D., Jr.; Knight, S. S.; Cooper, C. M. 1994. Effects of channel incision on base flow stream habitats and fishes. *Environmental Management* 18: 43-57.
- Smithfield County, Virginia. 1998. Smithfield Zoning Ordinance: Article 9. September 1998. www.co.smithfield.va.us/zoart9.pdf.
- Steuer, J.; Selbig, W.; Hornewer, N.; Pretz, J. 1997. Sources of contamination in an urban basin in Marquette, Michigan, and an analysis of concentrations, loads, and data quality. Water-Resources Investigations Report 97-4242. Reston, VA: U.S. Geological Survey.
- TCF – See The Conservation Fund
- TERRA – See The Earth Restoration and Reforestation Alliance
- The Conservation Fund. 1993. *Greenways: a guide to planning, design and development*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- The Earth Restoration and Reforestation Alliance. 2003. Champion trees. www.championtrees.org. (Accessed 2004).
- The Nature Conservancy. 1998. The national vegetation classification system. Washington, DC. <http://conserveonline.org/2001/03/p/vol1;internal&action=buildframes.action>.
- Tiner, R. H.; Bergquist, G.; DeAlessio, G.; Starr, M. 2002. Geographically isolated wetlands: a preliminary assessment of their characteristics and status in selected areas of the United States. Hadley, MA: U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Northeast Region. http://wetlands.fws.gov/pubs_reports/isolated/report.htm.
- Trees Atlanta. [N.d.]. Facts. Atlanta, GA. www.treesatlanta.org/facts.html. Accessed 2003.
- Urban Forestry South Expo. [N.d.]. Urban forestry manual: a manual for urban forestry in the South. www.urbanforestrysouth.org/pubs/ufmanual/index.html. (Accessed 2003).
- USDA Forest Service. 2004. Urban Forest Effects (UFORE) Model. Syracuse, NY: Northeastern Research Station. www.fs.fed.us/ne/syracuse/Tools/UFORE.htm and www.ufore.org/.
- USDA Forest Service. 1993. A technical guide to urban and community forestry. St. Paul, MN: Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry, www.na.fs.fed.us/spfo/pubs/uf/techguide/toc.htm. (Accessed 2005).
- USDA Forest Service. 1993. An ecosystem approach to urban and community forestry: A resource guide, 2nd edition. [Newtown Square], PA. USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1999. Phytoremediation resource guide. EPA 542-B-99-003. Washington, DC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1998. A citizen's guide to phytoremediation. EPA 542-F-98-011. Washington, DC: Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.

Urban Watershed Forestry Manual - Part 1

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1997. An ecological assessment of the U.S. Mid-Atlantic region: A landscape atlas. EPA/600/R-97/10. Washington, DC.
www.epa.gov/maia/html/la-index.html. (Accessed 2004).
- U.S. Geological Survey. 1999. The quality of our nation's waters—nutrients and pesticides. USGS Circular 225. Reston, VA.
- U.S. Geological Survey. Reston, VA: Gap Analysis Program. 2000. A handbook for conducting gap analysis. Version 2.0.0. www.gap.uidaho.edu/handbook/LandCoverMapping/UNESCO/default.htm.
- USGS – See U.S. Geological Survey
- VASS – See Virginia Agriculture Statistics Service
- VCE – See Virginia Cooperative Extension
- Virginia Agriculture Statistics Service. 1998. Profile of Virginia turfgrass industry. Richmond, VA.
- Virginia Cooperative Extension. 2002. Trees for problem landscape sites. Publication No. 430-028. Richmond, VA.
- Virginia Department of Forestry. 1993. Urban riparian restoration in the Chesapeake Bay watershed: A methodology for progress. Charlottesville, VA.
- Waschbusch, R.; Selbig, W.; Bannerman, R. 2000. Sources of phosphorus in stormwater and street dirt from two urban residential basins in Madison, Wisconsin, 1994-1995. In: National Conference on Tools for Urban Water Resource Management and Protection. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC; 15-55.
- Watershed Science Center. 2000. Water budget analysis on a watershed basis: Impact of land use on water budgets. Peterborough, ON (Canada): Trent University.
- Weber, T. 2003. Maryland's Green Infrastructure Assessment. Annapolis, MD: Maryland Department of Natural Resources.
- Welsch, D. 1991. Riparian forest buffers: function and design for protection and enhancement of water resources. NA-PR-07-91. Radnor [Newtown Square], PA. USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry. www.na.fs.fed.us/spfo/pubs/n_resource/riparianforests/index.htm.
- Wenger, S. 1999. A review of the scientific literature on riparian buffer extent, width and vegetation. Athens, GA: University of Georgia Institute of Ecology.
www.marc.org/current/riparianbuffer.pdf.
- Wenger, S. J.; Fowler, L. 2000. Protecting stream and river corridors: creating effective local riparian buffer ordinances. Athens: University of Georgia.
www.cviog.uga.edu/pprs/paper-streams.pdf.
- WFC – See World Forestry Center

References

- Wolf, K. 1998. Urban forest values: Economic benefits of trees in cities. Human Dimension of the Urban Forest Fact Sheet #3. Seattle, WA: University of Washington, College of Forest Resources, Center for Urban Horticulture.
- World Forestry Center; Morgan, R. 1993. A technical guide to urban and community forestry. Portland, OR. www.na.fs.fed.us/spfo/pubs/uf/techguide/toc.htm. (Accessed 2005).
- Wright, T.; Swann, C.; Cappiella, K.; Schueler, T. 2004. Unified subwatershed and site reconnaissance: A user's manual. Ellicott City, MD: Center for Watershed Protection.
- Xiao, Q.; McPherson, E. G.; Ustin, S. L.; Grismer, M. E.; Simpson, J. R. 2000. Winter rainfall interception by two mature open-grown trees in Davis, CA. *Hydrological Processes* 14: 763-784.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.