

BRC & LLM Credit Duration Concerns and Next Steps

Voices of Concern	Concern	Next Steps: Addressing the Concern	Notes
####	Lack of consistent data	<p>Request for consistent, comparable data across the entire watershed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What universe of already built practices was surveyed, how many passed and how many failed. - Percent failure/success for only the BMPs that were inspected 15 years after the initial installation or previous inspection. - Suggestion: data could be broken down by individual practices, rather than lumping together varied practices with varied lifespans. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PA data: need more context, analysis, and explanation, question of how often a farm might be visited, need a number or percentage of practices that are in failure, need to differentiate which BMPs have failed or are beyond their useful life versus new BMPs needed - MD data: need further information
### I	Confusion between BMP lifespan and credit duration	<p>Clarifications between the concepts of lifespan and credit duration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How they are different and why that distinction matters in this context. 	
IIII	Too many BMPs under the categories of BRC and LLM	<p>Request for a breakdown of the practices within BRC & LLM. Explore all of the different practices that roll up into these two categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Look into having different credit durations for each of the sub BMPs rather than a single credit duration for everything under Barnyard Runoff Control. 	
I	Lack of input from WGs that developed the original credit duration	<p>Increase the role of the WG that developed the original credit duration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bring the WGs into the discussion prior to drafting a recommendation. 	