

Federal Facilities Workgroup Agenda

Date: May 11, 2021

Time: 10:00 A.M. – 12:00 P.M.

<https://umces.webex.com/umces/j.php?MTID=mc94c727b79079d5e664bc46ac122fb53>

Meeting number: 120 961 9864

Password: FFWG

Join by phone: 1-408-418-9388, Access code: 120 961 9864

This meeting will be recorded for internal use to assure the accuracy of meeting notes



Agenda Item, (Discussion Lead), and Desired Outcome	Time	Materials, Notes, and Action Items
Introductions (John Maleri)	10:00-10:10	<u>Summary of Action Items from last meeting:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check with Peter to see if any federal facilities need to review the land use/land cover products
Federal Planning Goals Status Review and Climate Milestones Discussion (John Maleri) Outcome: Review and discuss allocation of additional climate change reductions for Federal Agencies.	10:10- 10:50	Questions and Comments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kevin-Meeting with FFWG will have with WQGIT leadership, open to people, or see an outline of the conversation? How can I provide input into that discussion? Soliciting info on affected DOD installations might have background info that would be helpful. Don't want to see this happen in a vacuum. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> John- will take this back to Greg, see if Kevin can join meeting given DoD level of involvement. <p>John recommended members review the final published Federal Agency Progress Evaluation and share with MB or other team members who should see it. Hope is to have more firm information from jurisdictions in terms of requiring additional load reductions from federal agencies based on climate change.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kevin- DoD does the data call to facilities in July. We won't be able to adequately address the 2-year milestones if we don't know what the jurisdictions are requiring, what the magnitude is. Need to develop a strategy. Hoping to have definitive acknowledgement from the jurisdictions as to which ones will require additional load reductions. D.C. has said no, WV said no, VA said yes.

Agenda Item, (Discussion Lead), and Desired Outcome	Time	Materials, Notes, and Action Items
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> James- VA has climate allocations by state basin, also be fine with a “DoD has 2% of VA CB watershed, DoD would get 2% of VA’s N, P and S type allocation. Do not have any better way to parse it out, looking for the approach. Ted- Like James, PA would use proportional assessment, welcome programmatic narrative as well. May be some issues regarding numeric targets, but a programmatic narrative could address that need without having definitive land use loads for federal facilities directly. Cassie- Will reach out to NY leads to confirm. If we did it proportionally it would be very small. Kevin- Need MD and WV, would rather have something directly from jurisdictions on their expectations. What are other agencies doing, how are they going to develop milestones? Liz- agree that it’s too late to add new requirements for July data call. We are still just trying to figure out how to count any BMPs. It takes lead time. Shawn- NPS team call scheduled for this afternoon, will get this on the agenda. <p>John reviewed the Federal Planning Goals, (Table 3-1. Assessment of Phase III WIP FPGs) from Brown and Caldwell’s evaluation. D.C. is having internal discussions about our components and hopefully scheduling a meeting to talk through these equity issues.</p> <p>No questions or comments.</p>
Federal Agency Progress Evaluation (John Maleri) Outcome: Next steps to continue working towards a complete and accurate BMP record.	10:50- 11:20	<p>John discussed some of the preliminary efforts to continue Brown and Caldwell’s federal agency evaluation work and solicit input from jurisdictions on what gaps they would like to see addressed. One option is to complete the historical BMP and record and get a true baseline.</p> <p>Questions and Comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kevin- DoD has been working to improve their data, appreciate the cooperation in D.C. Katie- USFS hasn’t had a change, struggle since can’t quantify any forest harvesting BMPs in CAST because harvested forest isn’t a federal land use. That’s our major roadblock at the moment. Shawn- NPS retained a consultant to help build out a robust data set and progress report.

Agenda Item, (Discussion Lead), and Desired Outcome	Time	Materials, Notes, and Action Items
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> James- Chesapeake Commons developed a data tracking and reporting system, some federal facilities might want to adopt in lieu of building their own tracking system from scratch. Could be future agenda item. Field doc, presentation on how to track. Ted- https://www.ourcommoncode.org/fielddoc Cassie- Just confirmed. NY will not be increasing reductions for federal facilities due to climate change John- If anyone has any questions, comments, concerns, reach out to the leadership team here and we'll make sure Greg gets that information for the contract discussions. James - Please reach out if you have any Virginia specific questions or issues. James.Martin@deq.virginia.gov (804) 698-4298 Kevin- Curious where that DoD 484K acres number came from. According to our info, it's closer to 400K. Table 3-11. Level of effort summary with shading based on data quality
Federal Facilities New Member Training Slides (John Maleri) Outcome: Finish reviewing presentation and determine necessary updates, changes or revisions to remaining slides 31-45.	11:20- 11:50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> FFWG Training Presentation March Notes on Training Slides <p>John summarized our current effort in updating the new member training slides. Intend to record leadership giving the presentation so that can be shared widely. Breakout groups reviewed certain sets of slides at the March meeting, and members provided feedback on the final 15 slides. Specific suggestions are recorded in the Powerpoint presentation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kevin- With regard to key years, perhaps you want to acknowledge activities that are proposed to occur after 2025 - a 2035 assessment of additional climate reductions, etc. Jessica- I am fairly new to the CBP. I don't know what the timeline is for receiving feedback, but I can commit to taking a look at it as a "newbie" and provide my feedback. Cassie- this resource is important outside the FFWG- use it to introduce people to the Bay program. Thinking about the general Bay program part. Kevin-CBP climate directive- suggest other agencies look at this. Debut on Oct 1, getting comments now. Still working to compile DoD comments but it is in the works, time is short to provide comments. Received a request from Delegate Bolova, how to leverage funding in NPS for stream restoration. At CBC meeting, discussed pilot initiative with DoD to develop status reports looking at the contributions of individual installations to the overall jurisdictions WIPs and the Bay program in general. Created a fact sheet and presented on the different installations. Gathering feedback from installations on its

Agenda Item, (Discussion Lead), and Desired Outcome	Time	Materials, Notes, and Action Items
		<p>usefulness. Could share more information or present to federal facilities workgroup as a way to illustrate and communicate progress.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Shawn will work on resolving NPS comment
Update, Wrap-up, Next Meeting	11:50-11:55	<p><u>Looking Ahead:</u></p> <p><u>Next Meeting:</u> July 13, 2021</p>

Attendees:

Shawn Norton, NPS
John Maleri, DC DOEE
Kevin Dubois, DoD
Jessica Rodriguez, DoD
Jason Rinker USACE
Dan Filer, NPS
Ande Remington, NASA

Liz Dawson, USFWS
Katie Brownson, USFS
Pauline Adams, USFS
Mike McMahon, MDE
Ted Tesler, PA DEP
James Martin, VA DEQ
Cassie Davis, NY DEC