



#### STRATEGIC REVIEW SYSTEM

- Last fall three Outcomes from the Healthy Watershed Cohort requested the jurisdiction management board member to engage with experts in their jurisdiction and provide feedback:
  - Fish Habitat
  - Brook Trout
  - Stream Health
- MB suggested a survey for feedback and the questions were developed by the Outcome chairs.
- The CBP Governance Document specifies that individual MB members "represent and speak for the Agreement signatory or federal agency that they represent. As such, MB members are expected to coordinate action on behalf of their entire signatory or federal agency and raise issues with their signatory or federal agency and the MB that affect implementation of the Agreement, particularly those issues identified through the SRS process."





**Fish Habitat**: Jurisdiction-specific feedback on fish habitat priorities including, developing a fish habitat assessment for tidal and non-tidal waters and ecosystem-based fisheries management.

**Brook Trout:** Increase the coordination of efforts that address the interconnected network of land and water

- Need to identify additional interested parties related to specific outcome stressors or mitigating issues.
- Identify existing opportunities to leverage funding between programs to increase the number of projects that benefit brook trout habitat.

**Stream Health**: Broad feedback for an updated and comprehensive definition of stream health.

### BROADER SURVEY OBJECTIVES

2

3

### Opportunity for MB to engage with their workgroup representatives.

 Begin a discussion about the complexity of achieving the outcome in their jurisdiction and where the workgroup can be prioritized in their tasks. Engage in the process on a higher level.

- Discuss opportunities to collaborate on priority action items/stressors/funding
- This may require working across programs and agencies to identify challenges and opportunities that exist in your jurisdiction.
- Make the connections with the related programs in your state. MB members can help identify contacts to develop communications pathway.

Broader input for development of new logic and action plans.

#### Fish Habitat

- Maryland (workgroup members)
- District of Columbia

Brook Trout

- Maryland (workgroup members)
- Delaware
- New York

Stream Health

- Maryland (workgroup members)
- Delaware
- New York
- District of Columbia
- West Virginia

## LIMITED JURISDICTION RESPONSE





# WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF THE LIMITED RESPONSE

- Workgroup frustration. Some responses were very helpful, but barriers remain.
- Success of some outcomes require engagement from other disciplines.
   Trees for trout!
- We are lacking a framework for engagement beyond the jurisdiction's current workgroup members.
- Workgroup members are program specific. Generally, they do not know staff in other programs/agencies that may relate to their outcome issues.

