



**Management Board Meeting
November 15, 2018
Draft Actions/Decisions**

Actions from the “Local Action” Quarterly Progress Meeting

Request	Agreed Partner action	Due date
Local Leadership		
No “new” requests – want continue support in recognizing the enormous role that local governments play in meeting the Watershed Agreement	Leadership from the Local Government Advisory Committee (LGAC) and the Local Leadership workgroup will draft a proposed strategy for Management Board review and action on how to integrate the requests from all the relevant outcomes regarding how to better engage local leaders. LUOE, LUMM and Tree Canopy will be keyed into the discussion as appropriate. Carin Bisland and Greg Barranco (EPA) also volunteered to be involved. The draft proposal will be shared with the MB two weeks in advance of the January 17 MB meeting and will be reviewed during the meeting.	Jan. 3 - 2 weeks prior Jan. 17 MB meeting
Land Use Options Evaluation		
Support integration into existing CBP efforts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Let subject matter experts present to your relevant groups • Increase collaboration and incorporate policies, plans, and incentives for reducing land conversion into your outcomes • Tap into sources for local government engagement and outreach to provide content 	The requests from the Land Use Options Evaluation outcome relate to needs expressed by related outcomes. These outcomes would benefit from an integrated, outcome discussion at the Management Board on what is the best approach to engage with local officials. <u>See Action Item under Local Leadership above.</u>	

Request	Agreed Partner action	Due date
Tree Canopy		
<p>Build state and local capacity through new funding and policy strategies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Help us plant more trees! • Provide CBP Communications, LGAC and cross-outcome support • Support action team on tree canopy funding and policy strategies w. high level state representation 	<p>This request relates back to the general need to coordinate an approach to engaging local audiences.</p> <p><u>See Action Item under Local Leadership above.</u></p>	
<p>Promote tree canopy more vigorously through WIP efforts and state local stormwater programs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assure agency teamwork in integrating tree canopy goals in WIP planning and stormwater program delivery 	<p>The Tree Canopy workgroup will assess if the Chesapeake Stormwater Network could be provided with funding to help with needed additional capacity.</p>	<p>The workgroup will report back at the Jan. 17 MB meeting.</p>
<p>Insure local and partner engagement in tree canopy strategies and tracking progress</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tree Canopy Summit 2.0 	<p>Once a date is selected for the Tree Canopy Summit 2.0, the workgroup will notify Management Board and coordinate to prevent any conflicts with date.</p>	
Land Use Methods and Metrics Development		
<p>Delegate updating of jurisdiction- specific datasets to the jurisdictions (e.g., MS4s, protected lands, sewer service areas, and zoning). This will help free-up resources to work on this outcome.</p>	<p>The Land Use workgroup requested jurisdictions update specific datasets to help the workgroup ease its workload and focus on other CBP priorities necessary to achieve this Outcome. Maryland indicated that it could assume this responsibility, but the other jurisdictions and DOD expressed concerns with having their staff update these datasets. The Management Board recommends the Land Use Workgroup meet with the Water Quality GIT and EPA region 3 GIS team. During the meeting, the workgroup will discuss what needs to be accomplished, the impacts on staff time, and what the consequences will be to other CBP priorities if this responsibility remains with the Land Use Workgroup.</p>	<p>ASAP for discussion at a future Management Board meeting. The group will provide an update during the Jan. 17 MB meeting.</p>

Request	Agreed Partner action	Due date
	To help improve the collection of this data, the Land Use workgroup will draft a brief document for the Management Board detailing clear requirements and specifications about the needed datasets, lessons learned and common errors observed during data collection, frequent obstacles/challenges that delay finalization of the dataset, and the impacts on CBP staff and other CBP priorities if this responsibility remains with the Land Use Workgroup. The document should include recommended next steps, including appropriate roles and responsibilities, from the joint meeting of the Land Use Workgroup and Water Quality GIT.	
Adjust the outcome language to extend the completion date to December 2021.	The Land Use workgroup's request to adjust outcome language to extend the completion date to December 2021 is dependent upon their request to ease the burden of collecting certain datasets. Therefore, the request concerning data collection needs to be addressed first. However, the Management Board did state that if the deadline is extended, then the Land Use workgroup will need to set interim deadlines to meet certain needs, such as the Partnership decisions related to addressing climate change.	Dependent upon first request.

Regular Business

- **Decision:** The Management Board accepts as complete the following revised *management strategies*: Brook Trout, SAV, Public Access, Toxic Policy and Prevention, Toxic Contaminants Research, and Forest Buffers.
- Note: The Management Board thanks Chuck Hunt (NPS) and Hamid Karimi (DOEE) for their service to the partnership and time on the Management Board. Jeff Seltzer, the acting Deputy Director of the Natural Resources Administration at DOEE, will be the D.C. Management Board member.