

Proposed FY2017 GIT Funding Process

Chesapeake Bay Program August 24, 2017

What's Been Done...

- Calculate the total funds available (\$900k CBT costs)
- Ask EPA Team for input on process changes
- Known changes to existing process:
 - CBT external review Any scoring by reviewers should use CBP criteria
 - Include GIT chairs in meeting to rank proposals
- Ask GIT Chairs and Coordinators/Staffers for input on process changes
- Revise guidance documents as needed
- Initiate process by mid-June (next slide)

FY2017 Timeline

Completed Steps



Date	Action/Event		
Determined by GIT	Deadline for project ideas submitted to GIT leadership.		
July 6, 2017	GIT Chairs, Coordinators and Staffers meet to discuss project ideas. After this meeting, teams should engage in internal review by CBPO Web Team, Creative Team, and Communications Workgroup to determine in-house capacity and refinements to project ideas.		
August 4, 2017	Deadline for GIT leadership to submit top three to four project proposals from within each GIT.		
August 11, 2017	External technical peer review comments are solicited on the top three proposals from each GIT for the purpose of strengthening the project designs. Internal review by CBP Web Team, Creative Team, and Communications Workgroup to determine in-house capacity and refinements to project ideas.		

FY2017 Timeline

Remaining Steps



Date	Action/Event		
August 29, 2017	GIT Chairs, Coordinators and Staffers present and rank proposals. GIT Chairs select the finalists from the full suite of projects based on criteria in Section III, comments from the external review, and input from other Bay Program components.		
September 5, 2017	GIT Chairs present a proposed final list of projects for funding to the CBPO Director for final approval & CBPO Director will notify Management Board and GIT Chairs of final approved project list.		
September 29, 2017	GIT lead refines the scope of work and request for proposal (RFP) content (Table 2 i Section VIII below) and submits to the coordinator and staffer of Enhance Partnerin Leadership and Management GIT.		
October 6, 2017	The Trust provides feedback to the GIT Leads on the draft RFP content and schedules conference calls to discuss as needed to develop a robust scope for the RFP.		
October 2017	The Trust issues a RFP to seek bidders.		
November 2017	Bids from contractors are due; the Trust releases bids for external peer review.		
December 2017	The Trust compiles reviews, works with the GIT technical project leads to identify winning bidders, initiates sub-award contracts.		
January 2018	GIT technical leads meet with awardees to commence projects.		

FY2016 Ranking Criteria



Criteria	Scale	Power Boost (optional)
Removes Barrier(s)	scale 1-10	
 Catalyst Matching funds Follow-on from previously funded projects Establishes sustainable resource(s) Accelerates knowledge, technical assistance, and/or relationships with key stakeholders 	scale 1-10	Equity Teams with high number of outcomes (ex. Habitat, Stewardship only) <u>or</u>
Cross-Outcome/Cross-GIT Direct connections 	scale 1-10 (x2 weighting)	New Outcome or
 Decision Framework Indicator development Measuring progress New and/or improved methods and plan(s) to measure progress; not ongoing monitoring effort(s) Testing alternative management actions and outcomes 	scale 1-10	Never Funded Special Circumstances/Extraordinary Request

FY2016 Results



FY2016 GIT Funding Proposals Summary							
Proposal Number	GIT	Project Title	Average Score	Cost	Running Total		
Priority 1							
1	1	Shell/habitat loss rates in oyster restoration and fishery management	N/A	60,000	60,000		
2	2	Updates to the Chesapeake Fish Passage Tool	N/A	70,000	130,000		
3	3	Assessing Multifunctional Riparian Forest Buffer Benefits	N/A	65,000	195,000		
4	4	Back Creek Watershed Demo – Getting Water off The Road		45,000	240,000		
5	5	Stormwater on Urban/Suburban School Grounds	N/A	70,000	310,000		
6	6	Implementation Support for Local Official Watershed Education and Capacity Building	N/A	50,000	360,000		
7	CRWG	Development of Climate Change Indicators and Metrics for the Chesapeake Bay Program	N/A	75,000	435,000		
Priority 2							
8	1	Evaluating ecosystem-based consideration for the blue crab stock	N/A	50,000	485,000		
9	2	Watershed group and Citizen Monitoring of Fish Habitat	N/A	52,000	537,000		
10	3	Development of Chesapeake Bay Technology Assessment Protocol for Manufactured Stormwater Treatment Devices	N/A	50,000	587,000		
11	4	Methodology for developing high-resolution stream and waterbody datasets for the Chesapeake Bay watershed	N/A	75,000	662,000		
12	5	Stewardship Index	N/A	112,000	774,000		
Priority 3							
17	5	EJ Screen	40.14	30,000	804,000		
14	2	Increasing landowner participation in wetland programs through improved information access and program staff cross-training.	35.86	50,000	854,000		
	3	Assessing Benefits of Wastewater Treatment Plant Nutrient Control Upgrades on Toxic Contaminants	32.14	40,000	894,000		
16	4	LandScope Conservation Registry (not put forward for final consideration)	29.71	4 5,000	939,000		
13	1	Evaluation of fish habitat in the Chesapeake Bay (not put forward for final consideration)	29.71	55,000	994,000		
				Total Requested	894,000		



Questions? Comments? Ideas?