# Characterizing forage fish distribution and schooling in Maryland tributaries 

## Applications of high-resolution sonar imaging
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## Background



- Schooling is an important characteristic of many forage fish
- Little information available on forage fish school distribution at fine-scale spatial resolutions
- Descriptive metrics of school spatial distribution may illuminate underlying environmental and behavioral drivers of overall spatial distribution pattern
- Addressing this gap can assist in assessing density and spatial distribution of pelagic populations


## Sonar imaging



## Sonar imaging
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## Study area



## Previous results: density and distribution



## Research objectives

- Seasonal trends in forage fish school morphology
- Number of schools and total number of fish in study area
- Number of individual fish per school
- Length of schools (meters)
- Comparison of school morphology and spatial distribution between river and creek habitats
- Basic morphology (number of schools, etc.)
- Bathymetry at point of observation
- Proximity to nearest neighbor
- Determine clustering pattern through statistical analysis
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## Number of schools



2017


## Number of individuals/ school



Min: 1
Median:36
Mean: 68
Max: 3157


Min: 1
Median: 51
Mean: 124
Max: 5387

## Length of schools



Min: < 1 m
Median: 2.2m
Mean: 2.9 m
Max: 27.4m

Min: < 1 m
Median: 2.5 m
Mean: 3.3 m
Max: 107.1m
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## Length of schools



## Length and size of schools



## Bathymetry


$\mathrm{p} \ll 0.05, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W} \mathrm{u}$
Habitat

## Bathymetry



## Proximity to nearest neighbor
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## Clustering of schools



- Preliminary results indicate that schools occur in clusters; results are statistically significant for all sampling days and transects in 2016.


## Conclusions

- High inter-annual variation in observed population size, trends upwards as summer season progresses
- Most observed schools had fewer than 100 fish and were found in waters 2-6m deep
- Number of forage fish observed in the three creeks was 13 times larger than the number of forage fish observed in the river channel
- In creeks: More schools, more individuals per school, shallower water, closer spacing within and between schools
- Schools occur in clusters within habitat area and are not evenly or randomly dispersed (preliminary result)


## Next steps

- Assist in developing machine learning methods to enumerate individual fish per frame, cut down on processing time

4 total years of data, only 2 processed so far

- Examine patterns of spatial distribution and abundance across multiple spatial scales
- Bayesian approach - matrix variate Gaussian graphical modeling
- Multivariate, multi-scale species distribution modeling
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## Content-based image classification



- Limited morphological detail in ARIS images, relatively weak signal, highly variable image content and structure, variation in resolution from near to far field, disjointed beam pattern
- 3-module solution:
- Convert ARIS files to image files
- Classify images to categories based on contents using CNN
- Image processing and enumeration


## Content-based image classification



