

Notes from the science and research framework panel:

- Everyone agrees that prioritization of science needs is important, but not everyone agrees on how to create a systematic way of doing this and who the right decision makers are
- Need to consider how we will incorporate this list of needs into decision-making process
- It is long, resource intensive, and necessitates continuity over many years to do it. But to have the impact on the Bay that the program wants to have it is necessary.
- Two types of science that will be involved in this prioritization, and SRS is a systematic framework we can use to prioritize both types
 - Long-term fundamental science
 - Short-term operational science
- If this is a top priority, consider how this could be incorporated as part of this year Executive Council meeting
- How do we integrate the science framework outputs into the budget process for state and federal money as well as for national foundations?
- How do we sync the quarterly review science requests with the two-year biennial look at the whole framework?
- What about other policy, implementation and communication needs that are not science needs? Where do they fit?
- How do we get Management Board, PSC, FOD and the states to use this framework and shop the needs/gaps?