SRS 2025 WIP Outcome

June 27, 2022

WQGIT Meeting

Overview

- Review Goal and Outcome
- Review the SRS Quarterly Progress Meeting Schedule
- Today's objective
  1. Review the Logic and Action Plan focusing on progress
  2. Get your input on progress, lessons learned, and how the plan is contributing to meeting our outcome
  3. Identify requests for action, support, or assistance from the Management Board

Through the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement, *the Chesapeake Bay Program has committed to…*

Goal: *Reduce pollutants to achieve the water quality necessary to support the aquatic living resources of the Bay and its tributaries and protect human health.*

Outcome: *By 2025, have all practices and controls installed to achieve the Bay's dissolved oxygen, water clarity/submerged aquatic vegetation and chlorophyll a standards as articulated in the Chesapeake Bay TMDL document.*

Updated 2022 SRS Roadmap

https://www.chesapeakebay.net/decisions/meetings-deadlines (filter for "clean water")

August 11, 2022
- First draft of Narrative Analysis (NA), Logic and Action Plan (LAP), and PPT due

September 15, 2022
- Management Board (MB) Quarterly Progress Meeting (QPM)

OCTOBER 31, 2022
- Public and signatory feedback begins



August 18, 2022
- SRS Dry Run
- Final NA, LAP, and PPT due on **September 1st** for Progress Meeting

OCTOBER 28, 2022
- Near- final SRS materials due (LAP and NA)

DECEMBER 7, 2022
- Final SRS materials due

Logic and Action Plan Terminology

- **Factors -** human or natural that could impact ability to achieve outcome. Both positive and negative factors—whether they can be managed or not.
- **Efforts** - could come from within or outside of the Bay Program and could be supporting the partnership's work.
- **Gaps** - describes efforts that, if achieved, would manage each factor.
- **Actions** - short-term actions should support the long-term management approaches in Management Strategy.
- **Metrics** - will be used to determine whether actions address gaps
- **Response and Application** - how do you expect your actions to fill identified gaps?
- **Lessons learned** - what have you learned from taking an action? How has that lesson led to adaptation or change?

Review Logic and Action Plan

- Before our quarterly progress meeting in August, we must provide the status of individual actions using this color key.
- Action has been completed or is moving forward as planned.
- Action has encountered minor obstacles.
- Action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier.

Overview of the Logic and Action Plan Assessment

- Successes
  - 1-7: Update BMP Expert Panel Protocols
  - 2-1: Increase awareness of SRF and NPS funding opportunities
  - 7-2: Update Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves (IDFs) for all counties in the Chesapeake watershed and encourage the adoption and implementation of the updated IDFs
- Challenges
  - 1-1: Provide more “boots on the ground” support to address identified technical assistance needs expressed by the state and local jurisdictions
  - 3-2: Create trainings in underserved agricultural areas on the Chesapeake Bay TMDL and WIPs process, including an overview of funding opportunities
  - 3-8:Focus a GIT meeting to identify ways to strengthen coordination between all levels of government

Discussion Questions to Consider when Providing Feedback (jamboard exercise)

- **What have we learned over the past two years?** What has changed in the past two years that we'll need to consider in assessing our focus in the next two years?
- **What is preventing us from moving forward or accomplishing these actions to attain our outcome?** Do these actions need to be modified to help make progress and better align with achieving the outcome?
- **What requests for action, support, or assistance do we want from the Management Board?**

Our Next Steps After Today

- Each WQGIT member and workgroup **review the Logic and Action Plan** and **provide your perspectives** on “action progress” “successes”, “challenges”, and “lessons learned”.
- **Provide your input on jamboard** so we can consider what we learned, how actions support or outcome, and identify Management Board asks.
- **COB July 8**: Feedback due via email on SRS materials to be considered on the STAR dry run
- **COB July 21**: Feedback due via email on SRS materials to be considered for the Quarterly Progress Meeting
- Feedback should be emailed to Jeremy Hanson and WQGIT staffers

Primary Contacts

- **WQGIT Coordinator**: Jeremy Hanson hansonj@chesapeake.org
- **Staffers**: Hilary Swartwood (swartwood.hilary@epa.gov); Jackie Pickford (pickford.Jacqueline@epa.gov)
- **SRS Point of Contact**: Dave Goshorn, MD DNR (GIT 6) David.goshorn@maryland.gov
- **Chair** Ed Dunne (Ed.dunne@dc.gov) and **Vice Chair** Suzanne Trevena (Trevena.Suzanne@epa.gov)