



Chesapeake Bay Program

**Chesapeake Bay Program
Watershed Technical Workgroup (WTWG)
Webex Meeting Chat Summary***

Thursday, December 3, 2020

10:00 AM to 11:00 AM

Calendar Page: [Link](#)

**Chat messages were edited to provide clarity and correct grammatical errors. Messages that were irrelevant to preserving the questions and discussion below were removed (ex. reminding people to mute phones, letting participants know of call interference, etc.). There may be overlap between the chat and the WTWG Meeting Minutes. Refer the WTWG September Meeting Minutes for more details and the Action and Decisions. This meeting was recorded for internal use to ensure the accuracy of the meeting minutes.*

WTWG WEBEX MEETING CHAT SUMMARY

from Jessica Rodriguez - DoD Chesapeake Bay Program to everyone: 10:18 AM

Do federal agencies have access to the validation reports? Or just jurisdiction contacts?

from Olivia Devereux to everyone: 10:20 AM

Federal agencies can have access as well. Just let us know who you would like to have access. B&C has access now.

from Ted Tesler to everyone: 10:31 AM

Is there change logging within this system?

from Matt English DC to everyone: 10:32 AM

I think in DC we would like to keep access to all files

from Ted Tesler to everyone: 10:48 AM

State regs (permitting) don't typically differentiate Ag vs. Urban

from Matt English DC to everyone: 11:04 AM

I use Notepad ++ and I manually change the "Agency Code" for each of the 6 files I submit

from Kevin Du Bois to everyone: 11:13 AM

I don't think DoD has ever reported Ag BMPs because we don't get credit for them. Are the jurisdictions saying we should report them anyway? Ours are all Ag Out-leases and my understanding was that these BMPs are reported by the farmers and the Counties/jurisdictions get credits that way.

from Matt English DC to everyone: 11:16 AM

DC has a state specific template and we received successful data from DoD and NPS

from Alana Hartman to everyone: 11:22 AM

I didn't want to talk too long during my report, but I want to provide a few more answers to the questions on the slide.

1. We have used paper mailings to reach out to local governments for data in the past, and this has been delayed this year, partly because of not being in the actual office.
2. A cross-agency team sits down to review the data we receive from USGS, and we sometimes have to reject entries because we received local data that could include duplicates with the USGS data. We are conservative with those decisions and they likely result in under-counting.

3. No major bumps in the road
4. NEIEN worked well and I received the support I needed. Ditto what Cassie said about things getting easier each year. The new error/success reports are really great.
5. QAPP submitted & our grant officer shared it with Jeff (not sure about Vanessa).