## THIS MEETING WAS RECORDED



## Chesapeake Bay Program Watershed Technical Workgroup (WTWG) Webex Chat Summary\*

Thursday, September 2, 2021 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM

Calendar Page: Link

\*Chat messages were edited to provide clarity and correct grammatical errors. Messages that were irrelevant to preserving the questions and discussion below were removed (ex. reminding people to mute phones, letting participants know of call interference, etc.). There may be overlap between the chat and the WTWG Meeting Minutes. Refer the WTWG August 5<sup>th</sup> Meeting Minutes for more details and any Action and Decisions.

## **Webex Chat Summary**

from Ted Tesler, PA DEP to everyone: 10:30 AM

There are a number of issues to be addressed in PH7 including Ag data sourcing and others. Please make sure all jurisdictions are represented in a new AMS group for Ph7

from Lisa Beatty, PA DEP: 10:33 AM

Can you please note PA's comment from Ted Tesler

from Loretta Collins, UMD to everyone: 10:33 AM

@ Ted @ Lisa Noted

from Ted Tesler, PA DEP to everyone: 10:40 AM

Confirming that Ag SR projects can still report default rate after June 30, 2022?

from Chris Brosch, DDA to everyone: 10:47 AM

I'd recommend significant change. It's the same verbiage used on CAFO permitting. It's not as confusing.

From Hilary Swartwood, CRC to everyone: 10:49 AM

David's presentation is now posted to today's calendar page (links to the climate resources can be found in this as well):

https://www.chesapeakebay.net/channel files/42041/wtwg sr defaults and climate resilience 9.1.21.pdf

from Matt English, DOEE to everyone: 10:53 AM

Will there be a way for the states to see the output from the "second assessment"? Is that option available in CAST to state leads?

from Loretta Collins, UMD to everyone: 10:59 AM

Vanessa- That was very clear and concise. Thank you for laying out the process for everyone.

from Vanessa Van Note, EPA to everyone: 11:03 AM

Loretta, I am glad you found it helpful!

from Lisa Beatty, PA DEP to everyone: 11:27 AM

## THIS MEETING WAS RECORDED

From the previous presentation the QAPP was given 1 slide with a link to the QAPP. Is there going to be a more in depth presentation about the current QAPP so EPA can give clearer expectations? Is there going to be a template / form across jurisdictions to have clear expectations? so all jurisdictions are on the same page with EPA's Expectations for the QAPP for December 1st 2021? Is there plans to update the QAPP? If so, what is the timeline? Is this something that can be an agenda item at the next WTWG

from Ted Tesler, PA DEP to everyone: 11:30 AM

If no change is made/documented to the SSA is the back-out still conducted?

from Olivia Devereux, Devereux Consulting to everyone: 11:31 AM

QAPPs are addressed in the Grant Guidance from EPA.

from Dave Montali, Tetra Tech to everyone: 11:31 AM

I don't think that BMP reporting at LR scale is universally true

from Lisa Beatty, PA DEP to everyone: 11:36 AM

@Oliva if the grant guidance expectations were clear than all jurisdiction QAPPs would be more uniform in set up and meet your expectations. I have reviewed all jurisdiction QAPPs and they are not in any similar format.

from James Martin, VA DEQ to everyone: 11:37 AM

Sorry I need to jump off the call. One last comment on the last question on slide 26...NO. As I understand it, the accuracy assessment will be conducted on the v2 LU/LU change not the v1 product used in CAST21

from Olivia Devereux, Devereux Consulting to everyone: 11:39 AM

@Lisa. Just to be clear, I do not work for EPA and have no QAPP expectations. I was trying to point you in the right direction for information. EPA has a website full of information on QAPPs. I have had to complete QAPPs for several projects and can sympathize with the amount of time they take. Be glad you are not doing the version required for modeling. :-)

from Jeff Sweeney, EPA to everyone: 11:44 AM

Guidance on what should be in a BMP QAPP (the template ) is Appendix Q of the BMP Verification Framework document at

https://www.chesapeakebay.net/documents/Complete%20CBP%20BMP%20Verification%20Framwork%20with %20appendices.pdf

from Ted Tesler, PA DEP to everyone: 11:47 AM

Maybe the Wastewater group should inform/opine on the accuracy/completeness of the SSAs

from Lisa Beatty, PA DEP to everyone: 11:51 AM

@Jeff EPA is the reviewing entity, so it is up to EPA to make clear expectations when EPA is reviewing all jurisdictions QAPP that is directly linked to accepting yearly progress. The link between QAPP and accepting yearly progress was NOT addressed in the presentation. Because all jurisdictions QAPP's vary extensively it is evident that all jurisdictions are not clear of EPA expectations.

from Ted Tesler, PA DEP to everyone: 11:56 AM

PA SSAs are included in each county's master plan document