## BIENNIAL STRATEGY REVIEW SYSTEM Chesapeake Bay Program



## Logic and Action Plan: Post Quarterly Progress Meeting

## Land Use Methods and Metrics - 2019-2020

[NOTE: make sure to edit **pre**- or **post**- in the text above, to tell the reader whether this logic and action plan is in preparation for your quarterly progress meeting or has been updated based on discussion at the quarterly progress meeting.]

**Long-term Target:** Assess and understand the impacts of land use change on watersheds, habitats, and communities at a scale relevant to county-level decision-makers.

**Two-year Target:** (increment of metric for success)

**Instructions:** Before your quarterly progress meeting, provide the status of individual actions in the table below using this color key.

Action has been completed or is moving forward as planned.

Action has encountered minor obstacles.

Action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier.

Additional instructions for completing or updating your logic and action plan can be found on <a href="ChesapeakeDecisions">ChesapeakeDecisions</a>.

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential (to help fill this gap) to achieve our outcome?	What will we measure or observe to determine progress in filling identified gap?	How and when do we expect these actions to address the identified gap? How might that affect our work going forward?	What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?
Scientific and Technical	The Geospatial Award will result in	No affordable method exists to	<b>1.1</b> Design and implement a			
Understanding:	1m resolution	track wetland	manual, stratified			
Development of	monitoring of	conversion and	sampling approach			
separate metrics for	forest, farmland,	change.	at the county level			
impervious surface,	and impervious		and assess land			
forest, farm, and			<u>cover change from</u>			

Updated January 21, 2020 Page 1 of 6

wetland conversion at a resolution sufficient to inform county-level decisions.	surface change every 4-5 years.	QL-1 or QL-2 LiDAR data are needed throughout the watershed.	high resolution imagery circa 2009- 2013.  1.2 Assess land use change throughout the Bay Watershed and Bay States from the early 1980's through mid-2010's using the CBP 2013 high-res land use coupled with the
			Analysis and Monitoring Program Database and National Land Cover Database, the NRCS National Resources Inventory, and the USFS's Forest
			Inventory and Assessment data.  1.3 Assess difference in high resolution land cover maps at the County level.  1.4 Investigate
			options for monitoring "hot spots" of land change every two years.  1.5 Monitor "hot spots" of change
			1.6 Map and ReMap High-res land cover/use: 2013/14: 2017/18; 2021/22 Continued full support of the Geospatial Award.

Updated January 21, 2020 Page 2 of 6

Scientific and Technical Understanding: Methodology to quantify impacts to water quality, habitats and healthy watersheds, and communities.	Impacts to water quality have been addressed via CAST.	Impacts to habitats, healthy watersheds, and communities.	2.1 Quantify impact of land conversion on water quality (explaining changes in nutrient and sediment that relate to monitored and modeled land conversion)  2.2 Quantify impact of land conversion on healthy watersheds, wildlife, and stream habitats  2.3 Quantify impact of land conversion on communities  Management elevation of importance of this		
Public Engagement: Development of a plan to communicate findings with the public, elected officials and the Bay Program.	Launch of the Chesapeake Bay Land Change website including development of land change forecasts.	No work done on the development of a Local Engagement Strategy that will integrate and disseminate results of land use methods and metrics outcome and land use options evaluation outcomes.	outcome.  3.1 Link the results of the Land Use Methods and Metrics Outcome Land Use Options Evaluation Workplan  3.2 Chesapeake Bay Land Change website		

	ACTIONS – 2019-2020							
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline			
Management Approach 1: Monitor the rate of conversion of forests, wetlands, and farmland, (and the rate of impervious surface change).								
	Design and implement a manual, stratified	Acquire NAIP imagery for 2009, 2013	USGS, CBPO GIS Team	Prince George's County, MD	Spring 2018			

Updated January 21, 2020 Page **3** of **6** 

		ACTI	ONS – 2019-2020		
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
	sampling approach at the county level and assess	Design sampling framework	USGS, CBPO GIS Team	Watershed counties	Winter 2019
1.1	land cover change from high resolution imagery circa 2009-2013.	Classify samples using Land Image Analyst or other software	CRC Staffers/ Interns	Prince George's County, MD	Summer 2020
1.2	Assess land use change throughout the Bay Watershed and Bay States from the early 1980's through mid-2010's using the CBP 2013 high-res land use coupled with the Land Change Analysis and Monitoring Program Database and National Land Cover Database, the NRCS National Resources Inventory, and the USFS's Forest Inventory and Assessment data.	Work with CBP GIS Team to assign and completed task	USGS, CRC Staffers	Watershed counties	Summer 2020
1.3	Assess difference in high resolution land cover maps at the	Quantify change between two independently classified highres land cover datasets.  Compare with results from 1.1.	CRC Staffers/ Interns USGS, CBPO GIS Team	Prince George's County, MD	Summer 2019 Summer 2020
	County level.	_			
1.4	Investigate options for monitoring "hot spots" of land change every two years.	Review literature of the science and technologies associated with remote sensing and image interpretation as well as consultation with remote	Chesapeake Conservancy	Watershed counties	Fall 2019
		sensing professionals Provide recommendations on the most effective and efficient approach	-		

Updated January 21, 2020 Page 4 of 6

		ACTI	ONS – 2019-2020		
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
1.5	Monitor "hot spots" of change	Assess "hot spots" of change from 2013/14 - 2017/18 - 2019/20 - 2021/22	Chesapeake Conservancy	Watershed counties	Summer 2019, Summer 2021, and Summer 2023
1.6	Map and ReMap High-res land cover/use: 2013/14; 2017/18; 2021/22	Using the best available methods, map high-res land cover/use wall-to-wall every four years, remapping previous years in the process.	Chesapeake Conservancy, University of Vermont	Watershed counties	Summer 2020, Summer 2023
Manageme	nt Approach 2: Quantify the	he impacts of land conversion o	n water quality, healthy wa	tersheds, and communitie	es.
2.1	Quantify impact of land conversion on water quality (explaining changes in nutrient and	Assess the impact of future 2025 land use scenarios (Land Policy BMPs) on nutrient and sediment pollutant loads	USGS, CBPO GIS Team	Watershed counties	Fall 2018
	sediment that relate to monitored and modeled land conversion)	Assess the impact of future 2050 land use scenarios on nutrient and sediment loads			Summer 2019
2.2	Quantify impact of land conversion on healthy watersheds, wildlife, and stream habitats	Identify specific components of "health" and "habitat" to be evaluated and collect data, 1985- 2015	CBP Habitat and Healthy Watersheds GITs	State-identified healthy watersheds and habitats of interest	Spring 2020
		Analyze observed changes in land cover/use relative to changes health and habitat metrics (1985 - 2015)	USGS, CBPO GIS Team		Fall 2020
		Forecast changes in land cover/use through 2050 and relate to potential changes in health and habitat metrics	USGS, CBPO GIS Team		Spring 2021
	Quantify impact of land conversion on	Identify specific components of "communities" to be evaluated.	LGAC, LGEI, LUWG, CCP	Watershed counties	Summer 2020
2.3	communities	Conduct literature review on the relationship between land change and community components.	TBD?	National	Fall 2020

Updated January 21, 2020 Page 5 of 6

-			Responsible Party	Geographic	Expected
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	(or Parties)	Location	Timeline
		Forecast changes in land	USGS, CBPO GIS Team	Watershed counties	Spring 2021
		cover/use through 2050 and			
		relate to potential changes in			
		communities			
Manageme	nt Approach 3: Commun	icate the results to the public, el	ected officials, and to the I	Bay Program.	
	Link the results of the	Participate in the development	LGAC and CBP Local	Watershed counties	Spring 2021
	Land Use Methods and	of a Local Engagement	Leadership Workgroup		
3.1	Metrics Outcome Land	Strategy that will integrate and			
	Use Options Evaluation	disseminate results of land use			
	Workplan	methods and metrics outcome			
		and land use options			
		evaluation outcomes			
	Chesapeake Bay Land	Launch Phase 6 land use data	USGS, CBPO Web Team	Watershed counties	Summer 2017
	Change website	website			
3.2		Testing, refinement, expansion	USGS, CBPO Web Team		
		Develop land change forecasts	USGS, LUWG		

Updated January 21, 2020 Page 6 of 6